

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GENERAL CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES AND ESTIMATING EXPRESSIONS

KEN HASEGAWA, TOSHIYUKI KOMIYA AND WATARU TAKAHASHI

Received July 19, 2011

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first consider a broad class of nonlinear mappings containing the class of contractive mappings in a metric space. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called contractively generalized hybrid if there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, we deal with fixed point theorems for these nonlinear mappings in a complete metric space. Using the results, we prove well-known fixed point theorems in a complete metric space. Furthermore, we obtain an estimating expression for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in a Banach space.

1 Introduction Let H be a real Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *nonexpansive* [10], *nonspreading* [8], and *hybrid* [11] if

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \|x - y\|, \\ 2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 &\leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$, respectively. These mappings are deduced from a firmly nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space; see [11]. A mapping $F : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$\|Fx - Fy\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in C$; see, for instance, Browder [1], Goebel and Kirk [3], and Kohsaka and Takahashi [7]. Motivated by these nonlinear mappings, Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [6] introduced a broad class of mappings $T : C \rightarrow C$ called *generalized hybrid* such that for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Such a mapping is also called (α, β) -*generalized hybrid*. We observe that the class of the mappings above covers several classes of well-known mappings. An (α, β) -generalized hybrid mapping is nonexpansive for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, nonspreading for $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$, and hybrid for $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, we know important classes of mappings in a metric space. Let X be a metric space with metric d . A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractive* if there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Complete metric space, contractive mapping, convergence theorem, fixed point theorem, estimating expression.

for all $x, y \in X$. Such a mapping is also called *r-contractive*. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *Kannan* [5] if there exists $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty))$ for all $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractively nonspreading* [2], [4] and [13] if there exists $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))$ for all $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractively hybrid* [11] if there exists a real number γ with $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{3}$ and

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \gamma\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

In this paper, we first consider a broad class of nonlinear mappings containing the classes of contractive mappings and contractively nonspreading mappings in a metric space. Then, we deal with fixed point theorems for these nonlinear mappings in a complete metric space. Using the results, we prove well-known fixed point theorems in a complete metric space. Furthermore, we obtain an estimating expression for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in a Banach space.

2 Preliminaries Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers. Let X be a metric space with metric d . We denote the convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to $x \in X$ by $x_n \rightarrow x$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *Cauchy* [10] if there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of real numbers such that for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq n$, $d(x_m, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. A metric space X is called *complete* if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent, i.e., $\{x_n\} \rightarrow u$ for some $u \in X$. In 1972, Zamfirescu [13] proved the following theorem which is one of generalizations of the Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a complete metric space with metric d and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies one of the following:*

- (i) *T is contractive;*
- (ii) *T is Kannan;*
- (iii) *T is contractively nonspreading.*

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Let l^∞ be the Banach space of bounded sequences with supremum norm. Let μ be an element of $(l^\infty)^*$ (the dual space of l^∞). Then, we denote by $\mu(f)$ the value of μ at $f = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in l^\infty$. Sometimes, we denote by $\mu_n(x_n)$ the value $\mu(f)$. A linear functional μ on l^∞ is called a *mean* if $\mu(e) = \|\mu\| = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$. A mean μ is called a *Banach limit* on l^∞ if $\mu_n(x_{n+1}) = \mu_n(x_n)$. We know that there exists a Banach limit on l^∞ . If μ is a Banach limit on l^∞ , then for $f = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in l^\infty$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \mu_n(x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

In particular, if $f = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in l^\infty$ and $x_n \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have $\mu(f) = \mu_n(x_n) = a$. For the proof of existence of a Banach limit and its other elementary properties, see [9]. We also know a fixed point theorem for generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space which was proved by using Banach limits; see also [12].

Theorem 2.2 (Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [6]). *Let H be a Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a generalized hybrid mapping. Then T has a fixed point in C if and only if $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded for some $x \in C$.*

3 Fixed Point Theorems In this section, we start with defining a broad class of mappings in a metric space. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called *contractively generalized hybrid* if there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. We call such a mapping an (α, β, r) -*contractively generalized hybrid* mapping. We observe that the class of the mappings above covers classes of well-known mappings in a metric space. For example, an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping T is r -contractive for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, i.e.,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Now, we prove fixed point theorems in a metric space. Before proving the fixed point theorems, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in X and let μ be a mean on l^∞ . If $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by*

$$g(z) = \mu_n d(x_n, z), \quad \forall z \in X,$$

then g is a continuous function on X .

Proof. Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we have that for any $y \in X$, $\{d(x_n, y)\}$ is an element of l^∞ . So, using a mean μ on l^∞ , we can define a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g(y) = \mu_n d(x_n, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Let $z, y \in X$. Then, we have that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d(x_n, z) \leq d(x_n, y) + d(y, z).$$

Since μ is a mean on l^∞ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad g(z) = \mu_n d(x_n, z) \leq \mu_n d(x_n, y) + \mu_n d(y, z) = g(y) + d(y, z).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.3) \quad g(y) \leq g(z) + d(z, y) = g(z) + d(y, z).$$

Therefore, we have from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$|g(y) - g(z)| \leq d(y, z).$$

This implies that $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function on X . □

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping of X into itself. Suppose that there exist a real number r with $0 \leq r < 1$ and an element $x \in X$ such that $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded and*

$$\mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) \leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, y), \quad \forall y \in X$$

for some mean μ on l^∞ . Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;

(ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .

Proof. Since $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded, we have that for any $y \in X$, $\{d(T^n x, y)\}$ is an element of l^∞ . So, using a mean μ on l^∞ , we can define a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g(y) = \mu_n d(T^n x, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

From Lemma 3.1, $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function on X . For any $z \in X$, consider a sequence $\{T^n z\}$ in X . Then, we have that for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d(T^m z, T^{m+1} z) \leq d(T^m z, T^n x) + d(T^n x, T^{m+1} z).$$

Since μ is a mean on l^∞ , we have that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^m z, T^{m+1} z) &\leq \mu_n d(T^m z, T^n x) + \mu_n d(T^n x, T^{m+1} z) \\ &= \mu_n d(T^n x, T^m z) + \mu_n d(T^n x, T^{m+1} z) \\ &\leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, T^{m-1} z) + r \mu_n d(T^n x, T^m z) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq r^m \mu_n d(T^n x, z) + r^m \mu_n d(T^n x, Tz) \\ &\leq r^m \mu_n d(T^n x, z) + r^{m+1} \mu_n d(T^n x, z) \\ &= r^m (1 + r) \mu_n d(T^n x, z) \\ &= r^m (1 + r) g(z). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have that for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^l z, T^m z) &\leq d(T^l z, T^{l+1} z) + d(T^{l+1} z, T^{l+2} z) + \dots + d(T^{m-1} z, T^m z) \\ &\leq r^l (1 + r) g(z) + r^{l+1} (1 + r) g(z) + \dots + r^{m-1} (1 + r) g(z) \\ &\leq r^l (1 + r) g(z) + r^{l+1} (1 + r) g(z) + \dots + r^{m-1} (1 + r) g(z) + \dots \\ &= r^l (1 + r) g(z) (1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots) \\ &= r^l (1 + r) g(z) \frac{1}{1 - r} \end{aligned}$$

and $r^l (1 + r) g(z) \frac{1}{1 - r} \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. So, $\{T^m z\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, $\{T^m z\}$ converges. Let $T^m z \rightarrow u$. Since

$$g(T^{m+1} z) = \mu_n d(T^n x, T^{m+1} z) \leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, T^m z) = r g(T^m z)$$

and g is continuous from Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $g(u) \leq r g(u)$. So, we have

$$\mu_n d(T^n x, u) = g(u) \leq r g(u) = r \mu_n d(T^n x, u).$$

From $0 \leq r < 1$, we have $\mu_n d(T^n x, u) = 0$. Since

$$d(Tu, u) \leq d(Tu, T^n x) + d(T^n x, u)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tu, u) &\leq \mu_n d(T^n x, Tu) + \mu_n d(T^n x, u) \\ &\leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, u) + \mu_n d(T^n x, u) \\ &= r \cdot 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $d(Tu, u) = 0$ and hence $Tu = u$. We show that such a fixed point is unique. Let $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. Since

$$\mu_n d(T^n x, u) = \mu_n d(T^n x, Tu) \leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, u),$$

we obtain $\mu_n d(T^n x, u) = 0$. Similarly, we have $\mu_n d(T^n x, v) = 0$. Since

$$d(u, v) \leq d(u, T^n x) + d(T^n x, v)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &\leq \mu_n d(T^n x, u) + \mu_n d(T^n x, v) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $d(u, v) = 0$ and hence $u = v$. This completes the proof. \square

Next, using Theorem 3.2, we prove a fixed point theorem for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in a metric space.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Then T has a fixed point in X if and only if $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. In this case, the following hold:*

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .

Proof. Since $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contractively generalized hybrid mapping, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $\{T^n u\} = \{u\}$ for $u \in F(T)$. So, $\{T^n u\}$ is bounded. We show the reverse. Take $x \in X$ such that $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded. Then we have from (3.4) that for any $y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(T^n x, Ty) \\ \leq r\{\beta d(T^{n+1}x, y) + (1 - \beta)d(T^n x, y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded, we can apply a Banach limit μ to both sides of the inequality. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\alpha d(T^{n+1}x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(T^n x, Ty)) \\ \leq \mu_n(r\{\beta d(T^{n+1}x, y) + (1 - \beta)d(T^n x, y)\}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \mu_n d(T^{n+1}x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)\mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) \\ \leq \beta r \mu_n d(T^{n+1}x, y) + r(1 - \beta)\mu_n d(T^n x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)\mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) \\ \leq \beta r \mu_n d(T^n x, y) + r(1 - \beta)\mu_n d(T^n x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) \leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, y)$$

for all $y \in X$. By Theorem 3.2, T has a unique fixed point u in X . Furthermore, for any $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X . \square

Using Theorem 3.3, we have the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping such that*

$$\beta \geq 0, \alpha - r\beta > 0 \text{ and } r < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta}.$$

Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .

Proof. Since $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping, we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. We note that $0 \leq r < 1$. Fix $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing x by $T^n x$ and y by $T^{n-1}x$ in (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) + (1 - \alpha)d(T^n x, T^n x) \\ \leq r\{\beta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n-1}x) + (1 - \beta)d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From $\beta \geq 0$ and (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq r\{\beta d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \\ + d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x)\} + (1 - \beta)d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(3.8) \quad (\alpha - r\beta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq rd(T^n x, T^{n-1}x).$$

From $\alpha - r\beta > 0$ we have

$$(3.9) \quad d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta}d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x).$$

From $r < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta}$, we have $r < \alpha - r\beta$ and

$$0 \leq \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta} < 1.$$

Putting $\lambda = \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta}$, we have that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, T^n x) &\leq d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, T^2x) + \cdots + d(T^{n-1}x, T^n x) \\ &\leq d(x, Tx) + \lambda d(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1}d(x, Tx) \\ &\leq d(x, Tx) + \lambda d(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1}d(x, Tx) + \cdots \\ &= d(x, Tx)(1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots) \\ &= d(x, Tx)\frac{1}{1 - \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded. We have from Theorem 3.3 that T has a unique fixed point u in X and for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X . \square

Using Theorem 3.4, we can also prove the following well-known fixed point theorems. We first prove a fixed point theorem for contractive mappings in a complete metric space.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contractive mapping, i.e., there exists a real number r with $0 \leq r < 1$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) *T has a unique fixed point u in X ;*
- (ii) *for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .*

Proof. Putting $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (3.1), we have that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Furthermore, we have that

$$\beta = 0 \geq 0, \quad \alpha - r\beta = 1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta} = \frac{1}{1} = 1 > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be contractively nonspreading, i.e., there exists a real number γ with $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \gamma\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) *T has a unique fixed point u in X ;*
- (ii) *for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .*

Proof. Setting $r = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$, we have $r - r\gamma = \gamma$ and hence $\gamma = \frac{r}{1+r}$. From $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $0 \leq r$. We have also

$$r < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{r}{1+r} = \gamma < \frac{1}{2}.$$

So, we have $0 \leq r < 1$. Furthermore, we have

$$(1+r)d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This implies that

$$(1+r)d(Tx, Ty) - rd(x, Ty) \leq rd(Tx, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So, T is a $(1+r, 1, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Furthermore, we have that

$$\beta = 1 > 0, \quad \alpha - r\beta = 1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta} = \frac{1+r}{2} > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be contractively hybrid, i.e., there exists a real number γ with $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{3}$ and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \gamma \{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u in X .

Proof. Setting $r = \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}$, we have $r - r\gamma = 2\gamma$ and hence $\gamma = \frac{r}{2+r}$. From $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{3}$, we have $0 \leq r$. We have also

$$r < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{r}{2+r} = \gamma < \frac{1}{3}.$$

So, we have $0 \leq r < 1$. Furthermore, we have

$$(2+r)d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This implies that

$$(2+r)d(Tx, Ty) - rd(x, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So, we have that

$$(1 + \frac{r}{2})d(Tx, Ty) - \frac{r}{2}d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\frac{1}{2}d(Tx, y) + \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This means that T is a $(1 + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Furthermore, we have that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} > 0, \quad \alpha - r\beta = 1 + \frac{r}{2} - r\frac{1}{2} = 1 > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\alpha}{1+\beta} = \frac{1+\frac{r}{2}}{1+\frac{1}{2}} > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have the desired result. \square

4 Estimating Expressions Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . Let α, β, r be real numbers with $0 \leq r < 1$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Observe that if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then T is *quasi-contractive*, i.e.,

$$d(u, Ty) \leq rd(u, y)$$

for all $u \in F(T)$ and $y \in X$. Indeed, putting $x = u \in F(T)$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\alpha d(u, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(u, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(u, y) + (1 - \beta)d(u, y)\}.$$

So, we have that

$$(4.1) \quad d(u, Ty) \leq rd(u, y)$$

for all $u \in F(T)$ and $y \in X$. This fact is used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 below. Before proving our main theorem in this section, we show the following basic lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let r and γ be real numbers with $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, respectively. For any $P_0, P_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, define a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of real numbers as follows:*

$$P_{n+2} = r(\gamma P_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma)P_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$(4.2) \quad P_n = \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$u = \frac{r\gamma + \sqrt{r^2\gamma^2 + 4r(1 - \gamma)}}{2}, \quad v = \frac{r\gamma - \sqrt{r^2\gamma^2 + 4r(1 - \gamma)}}{2}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $u > 0$ and $v < 0$. We know also that u, v are two solutions of the following quadratic equation of λ :

$$\lambda^2 - r\gamma\lambda - r(1 - \gamma) = 0.$$

So, we have

$$(4.3) \quad u + v = r\gamma, \quad uv = -r(1 - \gamma).$$

Putting $f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - r\gamma\lambda - r(1 - \gamma)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $f(1) > 0$ and $f(0) < 0$. So, we have $0 < u < 1$. Next, if $v \leq -1$, we have $u + v < 0$. This contradicts (4.3). So, we have $-1 < v < 0$. Let us prove (4.2). In the case of $n = 0$, we have

$$\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^0 + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^0 = \frac{P_0(u - v)}{u - v} = P_0.$$

Similarly, in the case of $n = 1$, we have

$$\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^1 + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^1 = \frac{P_1(u - v)}{u - v} = P_1.$$

Suppose

$$P_n = \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n$$

for $n = k, k + 1$. Then, we have from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k+2} &= r(\gamma P_{k+1} + (1 - \gamma)P_k) \\ &= r\left(\gamma\left(\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^{k+1} + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^{k+1}\right) + (1 - \gamma)\left(\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^k + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^k\right)\right) \\ &= (u + v)\left(\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^{k+1} + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^{k+1}\right) \\ &\quad + r\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^k + r\frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^k - (u + v)\left(\frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^k + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^k\right) \\ &= \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}((u + v)u^{k+1} + ru^k - (u + v)u^k) \\ &\quad + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}((u + v)v^{k+1} + rv^k - (u + v)v^k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}((u + v)u^{k+1} + (u + v - uv)u^k - (u + v)u^k) \\
&\quad + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}((u + v)v^{k+1} + (u + v - uv)v^k - (u + v)v^k) \\
&= \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^k((u + v)u - uv) + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^k((u + v)v - uv) \\
&= \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^k u^2 + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^k v^2 \\
&= \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^{k+2} + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^{k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$P_n = \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof. \square

Using Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following estimating expression for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in a Banach space.

Theorem 4.2. *Let E be a Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let α, β, r be real numbers with $0 < r < 1$ and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping such that $F(T)$ is nonempty. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in C$ and*

$$x_{n+2} = T(\gamma x_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma)x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges a unique fixed point z of T . Furthermore,

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^n,$$

where $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$, $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are two solutions of the quadratic equation of λ :

$$\lambda^2 - r\gamma\lambda - r(1 - \gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. We know from Theorem 3.3 that T has a unique fixed point z in C . Let $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$ and $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$. Define a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of real numbers as follows:

$$P_{n+2} = r(\gamma P_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma)P_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, we know from Lemma 4.1 that

$$P_n = \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v}u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v}v^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So, for finishing the proof, it is sufficient to show that

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq P_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$ and $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$, we have $\|x_0 - z\| \leq P_0$ and $\|x_1 - z\| \leq P_1$. Suppose

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq P_n$$

for $n = k, k + 1$. Then, we have from (4.1) that

$$\|x_{k+2} - z\| = \|T(\gamma x_{k+1} + (1 - \gamma)x_k) - z\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq r\|\gamma x_{k+1} + (1-\gamma)x_k - z\| \\
&= r\|\gamma(x_{k+1} - z) + (1-\gamma)(x_k - z)\| \\
&\leq r(\gamma\|x_{k+1} - z\| + (1-\gamma)\|x_k - z\|) \\
&\leq r(\gamma P_{k+1} + (1-\gamma)P_k) \\
&= P_{k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have $\|x_n - z\| \leq P_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$P_n = \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have from $0 < u < 1$ and $-1 < v < 0$ that $P_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Using Theorem 4.2, we give estimating expressions for well-known mappings in a Banach space.

Theorem 4.3. *Let E be a Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a r -contractive mapping with $0 < r < 1$, i.e., there exists a real number r with $0 < r < 1$ such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq r\|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in C$ and

$$x_{n+2} = T(\gamma x_{n+1} + (1-\gamma)x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges a unique fixed point z of T . Furthermore,

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n,$$

where $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$, $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are two solutions of the quadratic equation of λ :

$$\lambda^2 - r\gamma\lambda - r(1-\gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. Putting $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (3.1), we have that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq r\|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have that

$$\beta \geq 0, \quad \alpha - r\beta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1+\beta} > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. So, from Theorem 4.2, we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let E be a Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be contractively nonspreading with $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., there exists a real number k with $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq k\{\|Tx - y\| + \|Ty - x\|\}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in C$ and

$$x_{n+2} = T(\gamma x_{n+1} + (1-\gamma)x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges a unique fixed point z of T . Furthermore,

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n,$$

where $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$, $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are two solutions of the quadratic equation of λ :

$$(1 - k)\lambda^2 - k\gamma\lambda - k(1 - \gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. Setting $r = \frac{k}{1-k}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have $r - rk = k$ and hence $k = \frac{r}{1+r}$. From $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $0 < r$. We have also

$$r < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{r}{1+r} = k < \frac{1}{2}.$$

So, we have $0 < r < 1$. Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have that

$$(1 + r)\|Tx - Ty\| - r\|x - Ty\| \leq r\|Tx - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$, that is, T is a $(1 + r, 1, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Finally, we have that

$$\beta > 0, \alpha - r\beta > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta} > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. So, from Theorem 4.2, we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let E be a Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be contractively hybrid with $0 < s < \frac{1}{3}$, i.e., there exists a real number s with $0 < s < \frac{1}{3}$ and

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq s\{\|Tx - y\| + \|Ty - x\| + \|x - y\|\}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follows:
 $x_0, x_1 \in C$ and

$$x_{n+2} = T(\gamma x_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma)x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges a unique fixed point z of T . Furthermore,

$$\|x_n - z\| \leq \frac{P_1 - P_0 v}{u - v} u^n + \frac{P_0 u - P_1}{u - v} v^n,$$

where $P_0 = \|x_0 - z\|$, $P_1 = \|x_1 - z\|$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are two solutions of the quadratic equation of λ :

$$(1 - s)\lambda^2 - 2s\gamma\lambda - 2s(1 - \gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. Setting $r = \frac{2s}{1-s}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we have $r - rs = 2s$ and hence $s = \frac{r}{2+r}$. From $0 < s < \frac{1}{3}$, we have $0 < r$. We have also

$$r < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{r}{2+r} = s < \frac{1}{3}.$$

So, we have $0 < r < 1$. Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we have that

$$(1 + \frac{r}{2})\|Tx - Ty\| - \frac{r}{2}\|x - Ty\| \leq r\{\frac{1}{2}\|Tx - y\| + \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|\}$$

for all $x, y \in C$, that is, T is a $(1 + \frac{r}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Finally, we have that

$$\beta > 0, \alpha - r\beta > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta} > r.$$

From Theorem 3.4, we have $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. So, from Theorem 4.2, we have the desired result. \square

Acknowledgements. The third author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No.23540188 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. E. Browder, *Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, Math. Z. **100** (1967), 201–225.
- [2] S. K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **25** (1972), 727–730.
- [3] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [4] S. Iemoto, W. Takahashi and H. Yingtaweessittikul, *Nonlinear operators, fixed points and completeness of metric spaces*, in Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (L. J. Lin, A. Petrusel and H. K. Xu Eds.), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2010, pp. 93–101.
- [5] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points. II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408.
- [6] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi and J. -C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), 2497–2511.
- [7] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, SIAM. J. Optim. **19** (2008), 824–835.
- [8] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **91** (2008), 166–177.
- [9] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2000.
- [10] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2009.
- [11] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 79–88.
- [12] W. Takahashi and J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and ergodic theorems for nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2011), to appear.
- [13] T. Zamfirescu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **23** (1972), 292–298.

communicated by *Wataru Takahashi* ;

Ken Hasegawa
5-24-13-204, Honmachi, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 151-0071, Japan
email: ken-hase@major.ocn.ne.jp

Toshiyuki Komiya
Graduate School of Economics, Keio University, Mita 2-15-45, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8345, Japan
email: tkomiya@gs.econ.keio.ac.jp

Wataru Takahashi
Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan
email: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp