FOLDING THEORY APPLIED TO SOME TYPES OF POSITIVE IMPLICATIVE HYPER *BCK*-IDEALS IN HYPER *BCK*-ALGEBRAS

SUNG MIN HONG, YOUNG BAE JUN* AND WOOK HWAN SHIM

Received August 29, 2003

ABSTRACT. The foldness of $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideals and $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideals is considered. The fuzzy version of such notions is also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of BCK-algebras was initiated by K. Iséki in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus. Since then a great deal of literature has been produced on the theory of BCK-algebras, In particular, emphasis seems to have been put on the ideal theory of BCK-algebras. The hyperstructure theory (called also multialgebras) is introduced in 1934 by F. Marty [9] at the 8th congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. In [8], Y. B. Jun et al. applied the hyperstructures to BCK-algebras, and introduced the concept of a hyper BCK-algebra which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra, and investigated some related properties. They also introduced the notion of a hyper BCKideal and a weak hyper BCK-ideal, and gave relations between hyper BCK-ideals and weak hyper BCK-ideals. Y. B. Jun et al. [7] gave a condition for a hyper BCK-algebra to be a BCK-algebra, and introduced the notion of a strong hyper BCK-ideal, a weak hyper BCK-ideal and a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal. They showed that every strong hyper BCKideal is a hypersubalgebra, a weak hyper BCK-ideal and a hyper BCK-ideal; and every reflexive hyper BCK-ideal is a strong hyper BCK-ideal. In [4], Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin introduced the notion of an implicative hyper BCK-ideal. They gave the relations among hyper BCK-ideals, implicative hyper BCK-ideals and positive implicative hyper BCKideals. They stated some characterizations of implicative hyper BCK-ideals. And they also introduced the notion of implicative hyper BCK-algebras and investigated the relation between implicative hyper BCK-ideals and implicative hyper BCK-algebras. In [5], Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin introduced the notion of a positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal, and investigated some related properties. Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim [1] discussed several types of positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals in hyper BCK-algberas, and investigated their relations. In this paper we consider the foldness of $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideals and $PI(\ll, \ll,$ \ll)_{BCK}-ideals in hyper BCK-algebras, and discuss their fuzzy version.

2. Preliminaries

We include some elementary aspects of hyper *BCK*-algebras that are necessary for this paper, and for more details we refer to [3] and [8]. Let *H* be a nonempty set endowed with a hyper operation " \circ ", that is, \circ is a function from $H \times H$ to $\mathcal{P}^*(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. For

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25, 20N20.

Key words and phrases. Hyper BCK-ideal, (fuzzy) (k,m;n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal, (fuzzy) (k,m;n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal, (k,m;n)-fold positive implicative ideal.

^{*}Corresponding author: Tel.: +82 55 751 5674, fax: +82 55 751 6117 (Y. B. Jun)

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-005-D00002).

two subsets A and B of H, denote by $A \circ B$ the set $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$. We shall use $x \circ y$ instead

of $x \circ \{y\}$, $\{x\} \circ y$, or $\{x\} \circ \{y\}$.

By a hyper BCK-algebra we mean a nonempty set H endowed with a hyper operation " \circ " and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

(K1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \ll x \circ y$,

(K2) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$,

(K3) $x \circ H \ll \{x\},$

(K4) $x \ll y$ and $y \ll x$ imply x = y,

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x \ll y$ is defined by $0 \in x \circ y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A \ll B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a \ll b$.

In any hyper BCK-algebra H, the following hold (see [3] and [8]):

- (p1) $0 \ll x$,
- (p2) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A \ll B$,
- (p3) $x \circ 0 = \{x\}$ and $A \circ 0 = A$

for all $x, y, z \in H$ and for all nonempty subsets A, B and C of H. In what follows let H denote a hyper BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.1. [8] A nonempty subset A of H is called a *hyper BCK-ideal* of H if it satisfies the following conditions:

(I1) $0 \in A$, (I2) $\forall x, y \in H \ (x \circ y \ll A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A)$.

Definition 2.2. [8] A nonempty subset A of H is called a *weak hyper BCK-ideal* of H if it satisfies (I1) and

(I3) $\forall x, y \in H \ (x \circ y \subseteq A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A).$

Definition 2.3. [1] A nonempty subset A of H is called a $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H if it satisfies (I1) and

(I4) $\forall x, y, z \in H \ ((x \circ y) \circ z \ll A, y \circ z \subseteq A \Rightarrow x \circ z \subseteq A).$

We place a bar over a symbol to denote a fuzzy set so $\overline{A}, \overline{B}, \cdots$ all represent fuzzy sets in H. We write $\overline{A}(x)$, a number in [0, 1], for the membership function of \overline{A} evaluated at $x \in H$. An α -cut of \overline{A} , written $\overline{A}[\alpha]$, is defined as

$$\{x \in H \mid \overline{A}(x) \ge \alpha\}$$
 for $0 < \alpha \le 1$.

We separately specify $\overline{A}[0]$ as the closure of the union of all the $\overline{A}[\alpha]$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Definition 2.4. [6] A fuzzy set \overline{A} in H is called a *fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal* of H if it satisfies:

(F1) $\forall x, y \in H \ (x \ll y \Rightarrow \bar{A}(x) \ge \bar{A}(y))$ (F2) $\forall x, y \in H \ (\bar{A}(x) \ge \min\left\{\inf_{a \in x > y} \bar{A}(a), \bar{A}(y)\right\}\right).$

Proposition 2.5. [6] A fuzzy set \overline{A} in H is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H if and only if the level set $\overline{A}[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\overline{A})$, of \overline{A} is a hyper BCK-ideal of H.

Definition 2.6. [2] A fuzzy set \overline{A} in H is called a *fuzzy* $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -*ideal* of H if it satisfies (F1) and

(F3)
$$\forall x, y, z \in H \left(\inf_{a \in x \circ z} \bar{A}(a) \ge \min \left\{ \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ z} \bar{A}(b), \inf_{c \in y \circ z} \bar{A}(c) \right\} \right).$$

362

3. Folding Theory of Some Types of Positive Implicative Hyper BCK-ideals

For any $x, y \in H$ and any natural number n, denote

$$x \circ y^n = (\cdots ((x \circ \underbrace{y) \circ y}) \cdots) \circ y$$

n-times

Definition 3.1. Let k, m, and n be natural numbers. A nonempty subset A of H is called a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H if it satisfies (I1) and

(I5) $\forall x, y, z \in H \ ((x \circ y) \circ z^k \ll A, y \circ z^m \subseteq A \Rightarrow x \circ z^n \subseteq A).$

Example 3.2. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra with the following Cayley table:

0	0	a	b
0	{0}	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a,b\}$	$\{0, a, b\}$

Then $A = \{0, a\}$ is a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H for natural numbers k, m and n.

Example 3.3. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra with the following Cayley table:

0	0	a	b
0	{0}	{0}	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{0,a\}$

Then $A = \{0, b\}$ is a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H for natural numbers k, m, and n > 2. But it is not a (2, 3; 1)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H since $(b \circ a) \circ a^2 = \{0\} \ll A$ and $a \circ a^3 = \{0\} \subseteq A$, but $b \circ a^1 = \{a\} \not\subseteq A$.

Theorem 3.4. Every (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal is a hyper BCK-ideal for natural numbers k, m, and n.

Proof. Let A be a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \circ y \ll A$ and $y \in A$. Putting z = 0 in (I5), we get $(x \circ y) \circ 0^k = x \circ y \ll A$ and $y \circ 0^m = \{y\} \subseteq A$. It follows from (I5) that $\{x\} = x \circ 0^n \subseteq A$, i.e., $x \in A$. Hence A is a hyper *BCK*-ideal of H.

The converse of Theorem 3.4 may not be true. In fact, consider the hyper *BCK*-algebra H in Example 3.3. Then $A := \{0\}$ is a hyper *BCK*-ideal of H. But it is not a (k, m; 1)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H for $k \ge 2$ because $(b \circ 0) \circ a^k = \{0\} \ll A$ and $0 \circ a^m = \{0\} \subseteq A$, but $b \circ a^1 = \{a\} \not\subseteq A$.

Theorem 3.5. For natural number m, let A be a (m, m; m)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Then, for $w \in H$, the set

$$A_w := \{ x \in H \mid x \circ w^m \subseteq A \}$$

is a weak hyper BCK-ideal of H.

Proof. Obviously $0 \in A_w$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \circ y \subseteq A_w$ and $y \in A_w$. Then $(x \circ y) \circ w^m \subseteq A$ and $y \circ w^m \subseteq A$, which implies that $(x \circ y) \circ w^m \ll A$ and $y \circ w^m \subseteq A$. It follows from (I5) that $x \circ w^m \subseteq A$ or equivalently $x \in A_w$. Therefore A_w is a weak hyper *BCK*-ideal of *H*.

Lemma 3.6. [3] Let A be a subset of H. If I is a hyper BCK-ideal of H such that $A \ll I$, then A is contained in I.

Theorem 3.7. Let A be a hyper BCK-ideal of H. If

$$A_w := \{ x \in X \mid x \circ w^m \subseteq A \}$$

is a weak hyper BCK-ideal of H for all $w \in H$, then A is a (m, m; m)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in H$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \ll A$ and $y \circ z^m \subseteq A$. Then $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \subseteq A$ by Lemma 3.6 and $y \in A_z$. Thus for each $t \in x \circ y$, we have $t \circ z^m \subseteq A$ or equivalently $t \in A_z$. Hence $x \circ y \subseteq A_z$. Since A_z is a weak hyper *BCK*-ideal of *H*, we get $x \in A_z$, i.e., $x \circ z^m \subseteq A$. Therefore *A* is a (m, m; m)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of *H*.

Definition 3.8. Let k, m, and n be natural numbers. A nonempty subset A of H is called a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H if it satisfies (I1) and

(I6) $\forall x, y, z \in H \ ((x \circ y) \circ z^k \ll A, y \circ z^m \ll A \Rightarrow x \circ z^n \ll A).$

The following example shows that there is a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal which is not a hyper BCK-ideal.

Example 3.9. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra with the following Cayley table:

0	0	a	b
0	{0}	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0,a\}$	$\{0,a\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{0, a, b\}$

Then $A = \{0, b\}$ is a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H for natural numbers k, m and n, but not a hyper BCK-ideal of H since $a \circ b = \{0, a\} \ll A$ and $b \in A$, but $a \notin A$.

Definition 3.10. [1] A nonempty subset A of H is said to be *closed* if for every $x, y \in H$, $x \ll y$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$.

Example 3.11. In Example 3.9, $A := \{0, a\}$ is closed, which is a (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H.

Theorem 3.12. Every closed (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal is a hyper BCK-ideal.

Proof. Let A be a closed (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H and let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \circ y \ll A$ and $y \in A$. Taking z = 0 in (I6) and using (p3), we have $(x \circ y) \circ 0^k = x \circ y \ll A$ and $y \circ 0^m = \{y\} \ll A$. It follows from (I6) and (p3) that $\{x\} = x \circ 0^n \ll A$ so that there exists $u \in A$ such that $x \ll u$. Since A is closed, we get $x \in A$. Hence A is a hyper BCK-ideal of H.

4. Fuzzification of Folding Theory Applied to Some Types of Positive Implicative Hyper BCK-ideals

Definition 4.1. Let k, m, and n be natural numbers. A fuzzy set A in H is called a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H if it satisfies (F1) and

(F4)
$$\forall x, y, z \in H \left(\inf_{a \in x \circ z^k} \bar{A}(a) \ge \min \left\{ \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m} \bar{A}(b), \inf_{c \in y \circ z^n} \bar{A}(c) \right\} \right)$$

Note that (1,1;1)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal is a fuzzy $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal.

Example 4.2. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra in Example 3.2. Define a fuzzy set \overline{A} in H by $\overline{A}(0) = \overline{A}(a) = 0.7$ and $\overline{A}(b) = 0.07$. It is easily checked that, for natural numbers k, m, and n, \overline{A} is a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H.

Theorem 4.3. Every (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal is a fuzzy hyper BCKideal, where k, m and n are natural numbers. *Proof.* Let \overline{A} be a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H and let $x, y \in H$. Taking z = 0 in (F4) and using (p3), we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{A}(x) &= \inf_{a \in x \circ 0^k} \bar{A}(a) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ 0^m} \bar{A}(b), \inf_{c \in y \circ 0^n} \bar{A}(c) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{b \in x \circ y} \bar{A}(b), \ \bar{A}(y) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence \overline{A} is a fuzzy hyper *BCK*-ideal of *H*.

The converse of Theorem 4.3 may not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $H = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra with the following Cayley table:

0	0	a	b	c
0	{0}	{0}	{0}	{0}
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
c	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{b\}$	{0}

Define a fuzzy set \bar{A} in H by $\bar{A}(0) = \bar{A}(a) = 0.6$ and $\bar{A}(b) = \bar{A}(c) = 0.07$. Then \bar{A} is a fuzzy hyper *BCK*-ideal. But it is not a (1, 2; 3)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H, since

$$\inf_{u \in c \circ b} \bar{A}(u) = 0.07 \ngeq 0.6 = \min\left\{\inf_{v \in (c \circ a) \circ b^2} \bar{A}(v), \inf_{w \in a \circ b^3} \bar{A}(w)\right\}$$

Example 4.5. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ be a hyper *BCK*-algebra in Example 3.3. Define a fuzzy set \overline{A} in H by $\overline{A}(0) = 0.5$ and $\overline{A}(a) = \overline{A}(b) = 0.3$. Then \overline{A} is a fuzzy hyper *BCK*-ideal, but not a (1, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H for natural numbers $m \ge 2$ and n, since

$$\inf_{u\in b\circ a}\bar{A}(u)=0.3 \nleq 0.5 = \min\Bigl\{\inf_{v\in (b\circ 0)\circ a^m}\bar{A}(v), \inf_{w\in 0\circ a^n}\bar{A}(w)\Bigr\}.$$

Theorem 4.6. If \overline{A} is a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H, then the α -cut $\overline{A}[\alpha]$ of \overline{A} is an (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H, where $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\overline{A})$.

Proof. Let \overline{A} be a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H and let $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\overline{A})$. Both (p1) and (F1) induce the inequality $\overline{A}(0) \geq \overline{A}(x)$ for all $x \in H$, and so $0 \in \overline{A}[\alpha]$. Let $x, y, z \in H$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \ll \overline{A}[\alpha]$ and $y \circ z^n \subseteq \overline{A}[\alpha]$. Then for every $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m$, there exists $a' \in \overline{A}[\alpha]$ such that $a \ll a'$, and therefore $\overline{A}(a) \geq \overline{A}(a')$ by (F1). Hence $\overline{A}(a) \geq \alpha$ for all $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m$. It follows from (F4) that, for every $b \in x \circ z^k$,

$$\bar{A}(b) \ge \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \bar{A}(c) \ge \min\left\{ \inf_{u \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m} \bar{A}(u), \inf_{v \in y \circ z^n} \bar{A}(v) \right\} \ge \alpha$$

so that $b \in \overline{A}[\alpha]$, that is, $x \circ z^k \subseteq \overline{A}[\alpha]$. Consequently, $\overline{A}[\alpha]$ is a (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H.

We now consider the converse of Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.7. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy set in H such that $\overline{A}[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\overline{A})$, is an (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Then \overline{A} is a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H.

Proof. Assume that $\bar{A}[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\bar{A})$, is an (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Then $\bar{A}[\alpha]$ is a hyper BCK-ideal of H by Theorem 3.4. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that \bar{A} is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H, and so the condition (F1) is valid. Now let $\alpha = \min\left\{\inf_{u\in(x\circ y)\circ z^m}\bar{A}(u), \inf_{v\in y\circ z^n}\bar{A}(v)\right\}$. Then $\bar{A}(u') \ge \inf_{u\in(x\circ y)\circ z^m}\bar{A}(u) \ge \alpha$ and $\bar{A}(v') \ge \inf_{v\in y\circ z^n}\bar{A}(v) \ge \alpha$ for all $u' \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m$ and $v' \in y \circ z^n$. Hence $u', v' \in \bar{A}[\alpha]$, which implies that $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \subseteq \bar{A}[\alpha]$, hence $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \ll \bar{A}[\alpha]$, and $y \circ z^n \subseteq \bar{A}[\alpha]$. Since $\bar{A}[\alpha]$ is a (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \subseteq, \subseteq)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H, it follows from (I5) that $x \circ z^k \subseteq \bar{A}[\alpha]$ so that $\bar{A}(d) \ge \alpha$ for all $d \in x \circ z^k$. Consequently,

$$\inf_{d \in x \circ z^k} \bar{A}(d) \ge \alpha = \min \Big\{ \inf_{u \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m} \bar{A}(u), \, \inf_{v \in y \circ z^n} \bar{A}(v) \Big\}.$$

Thus \overline{A} is a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H.

Theorem 4.8. If \bar{A} is a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H, then $\bar{A}[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\bar{A})$, is an (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H.

Proof. Let \bar{A} be a (k, m; n)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H and let $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\bar{A})$. Both (p1) and (F1) induce the inequality $\bar{A}(0) \geq \bar{A}(x)$ for all $x \in H$, and so $0 \in \bar{A}[\alpha]$. Let $x, y, z \in H$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^m \ll \bar{A}[\alpha]$ and $y \circ z^n \ll \bar{A}[\alpha]$. Then for every $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m$ and $b \in y \circ z^n$, there exists $a', b' \in \bar{A}[\alpha]$ such that $a \ll a'$ and $b \ll b'$. It follows that $\bar{A}(a) \geq \bar{A}(a') \geq \alpha$ and $\bar{A}(b) \geq \bar{A}(b') \geq \alpha$ for all $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m$ and $b \in y \circ z^n$. Hence $\inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m} \bar{A}(a) \geq \alpha$ and $\inf_{b \in y \circ z^n} \bar{A}(b) \geq \alpha$. Using (F4), we get for every $c \in x \circ z^k$,

$$\bar{A}(c) \geq \inf_{u \in x \circ z^k} \bar{A}(u) \geq \min \Bigl\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^m} \bar{A}(a), \ \inf_{b \in y \circ z^n} \bar{A}(b) \Bigr\} \geq \alpha,$$

and thus $c \in \overline{A}[\alpha]$. This shows that $x \circ z^k \subseteq \overline{A}[\alpha]$, and thus $x \circ z^k \ll \overline{A}[\alpha]$ by (p2). Therefore $\overline{A}[\alpha]$ is an (m, n; k)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H.

Now we consider the converse of Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 4.9. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy set in H such that $\overline{A}[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\overline{A})$, is a closed (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Then \overline{A} is an (n, k; m)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H.

Proof. Assume that for $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\bar{A})$, $\bar{A}[\alpha]$ is a closed (k, m; n)-fold $PI(\ll, \ll, \ll)_{BCK}$ -ideal of H. Then $\bar{A}[\alpha]$ is a hyper *BCK*-ideal of H (see Theorem 3.12). It follows from Proposition 2.5 that \bar{A} is a fuzzy hyper *BCK*-ideal of H so that the condition (F1) holds. Let $x, y, z \in H$ and let

$$\beta := \min \Big\{ \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ z^k} \bar{A}(b), \ \inf_{c \in y \circ z^m} \bar{A}(c) \Big\}.$$

Then for each $b' \in (x \circ y) \circ z^k$ and $c' \in y \circ z^m$, we have $\bar{A}(b') \ge \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ z^k} \bar{A}(b) \ge \beta$ and $\bar{A}(c') \ge \inf_{c \in y \circ z^m} \bar{A}(c) \ge \beta$. Hence $b', c' \in \bar{A}[\beta]$, and so $(x \circ y) \circ z^k \subseteq \bar{A}[\beta]$ and $y \circ z^m \subseteq \bar{A}[\beta]$. Using (p2), we get $(x \circ y) \circ z^k \ll \bar{A}[\beta]$ and $y \circ z^m \ll \bar{A}[\beta]$, and therefore $x \circ z^n \ll \bar{A}[\beta]$ by (I6). It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $x \circ z^n \subseteq \bar{A}[\beta]$. Thus $\bar{A}(d) \ge \beta$ for all $d \in x \circ z^n$, and so

$$\inf_{a \in x \circ z^n} \bar{A}(a) \ge \beta = \min \left\{ \inf_{b \in (x \circ y) \circ z^k} \bar{A}(b), \ \inf_{c \in y \circ z^m} \bar{A}(c) \right\}$$

Consequently, \overline{A} is an (n, k; m)-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideal of H

References

- [1] Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim, Some types of positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online 6 (2002), 63–68.
- [2] Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim, Fuzzy structures of PI(≪, ⊆, ⊆)_{BCK}-ideals in hyper BCK-algebras, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 2003(9) (2003), 549–556.
- [3] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, Scalar elements and hyper atoms of hyper BCK-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae 2(3) (1999), 303-309.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, Implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 52(3) (2000), 435–443.
- [5] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, Positive implicative hyper BCK-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online 5 (2001), 67–76.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, Fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 53(2) (2001), 353–360.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, X. L. Xin, M. M. Zahedi and E. H. Roh, Strong hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 51(3) (2000), 493-498.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, M. M. Zahedi, X. L. Xin and R. A. Borzoei, On hyper BCK-algebras, Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 8 (2000), 127-136.
- [9] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm (1934), 45-49.

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju (Jinju) 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr