THE SPECIAL COPRODUCT OF OCKHAM ALGEBRAS*

LUO CONGWEN

Received May 29, 2001; revised June 21, 2002

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce an algebraic concept of the coproduct of Ockham algebras called the special coproduct. We show that if $L_i \in DMS(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ then the special coproduct of $L_i(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ exists if and only if $L_1, ..., L_n$ have isomorphic skeletons.

An Ockham algebra is an algebra $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, f, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded distributive lattice and f is a unary operation defined on L satisfying, for all $x, y \in L$,

$$f(x \land y) = f(x) \lor f(y), f(x \lor y) = f(x) \land f(y), f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0.$$

In such an algebra $\langle L; f \rangle$ the subset $S(L) = \{f(x) | x \in L\}$ is a subalgebra which we call the skeleton of L. The class of all Ockham algebras is a variety, denoted by O. Clearly, if $L_i \in O(i = 1, ..., n)$, the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^n L_i$, where the operation \sim is defined by $(x_1, ..., x_n)^{\sim} = (x_1^{\sim}, ..., x_n^{\sim})$, is also an Ockham algebra.

The study of Ockham algebras has been initiated by J.Berman^[2] who gave particular attention to certain subvariety $K_{p,q}$ of Ockham algebra $\langle L; f \rangle$ in which $f^q = f^{2p+q}$. The subvariety of $K_{p,q}$ defined by the inequality $x \ge f^2(x)$ is denoted by DMS, and its members are called dual MS-algebras.

We recall that a mapping $h: X \to Y$, where X, Y are lattices, is a homomorphism if, for any $a, b \in X, h(a \land b) = h(a) \land h(b)$ and $h(a \lor b) = h(a) \lor h(b)$. Such an h is said to be an isomorphism if it is one-to-one. A mapping h is called a kernel if $h^2 = h \le id$. Let $\langle L; \sim \rangle, \langle M; \sim \rangle$ be Ockham algebras. We say a lattice homomorphism $h: L \to M$ is an (Ockham) homomorphism if $(h(a))^{\sim} = h(a^{\sim})$. Such an (Ockham) homomorphism h is an (Ockham) isomorphism if it is one-to-one, denoted by \simeq .

Here we introduce a particular algebraic concept of the coproduct of Ockham algebras which is called the *special coproduct*. We show that the special coproduct of Ockham algebras is a subalgebra of the direct product Ockham algebras. In particular, if $L_i \in DMS(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ then the special coproduct of $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n$ has isomorphic skeletons.

For later convenience we denote the category of bounded distributive lattices with 0 and 1 by $D_{0,1}$.

Definition. Let L_1, \ldots, L_n be bounded distributive lattices with 0 and 1, and let the maps $f_{ij}: L_i \to L_j$ be lattice homomorphisms such that

- 1. $(\forall i) f_{ii} = i d_{L_i}$
- 2. $(\forall i, j, k) f_{ij} \geq f_{kj} \circ f_{ik}$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06D30.

Key words and phrases. Ockham Algebra, Special Coproduct, Skeleton.

^{*}The research is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province in China

LUO CONGWEN

By the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to the family of homomorphisms f_{ij} we mean the subset $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} = \{ (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} : x_{i} = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} f_{ji}(x_{j}) \text{, for any } i \}$$

We can see from the definition that

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \Leftrightarrow (\forall i) x_i = \bigvee_{j=1}^n f_{ji}(x_j) \Leftrightarrow (\forall i)(\forall j) f_{ij}(x_i) \le x_j.$$

It is then easy to see that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ is a sublattice of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ containing $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $(0, \ldots, 0)$. We first show the following fact.

Theorem 1. Let $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in D_{0,1}$. Then L is the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to homomorphisms $f_{ij}^n: L_i \to L_j$ if and only if there exist kernel homomorphisms h_1, \ldots, h_n on L such that $\bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i = id_L$, where $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i)(x) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i(x)(\forall x \in L)$.

Proof. \implies : Suppose that L is the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to homomorphisms $f_{ij}: L_i \to L_j$. Then, for any $i, j, k, f_{ij}(x_i) \ge f_{kj}(f_{ik}(x_i))$ and $(f_{j1}(x_j), f_{j2}(x_j), \ldots, f_{jn}(x_j))$ $\in \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}(\text{for any } j). \text{ Define the mapping } h_{j}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} \to \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} \text{ by } h_{j}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{i}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ We here } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{i}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{ij}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j} \text{ is a homomorphism, and the fact that } f_{j1}(x_{j}) \leq (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_{j})). \text{ It is clear that each } h_{j}(x_{j}) = (f_{j1}(x_{j}),$ x_i (for any i, j). We have

$$\begin{split} h_j^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= h_j(f_{j1}(x_j), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j)) \\ &= (f_{j1}(f_{jj}(x_j)), \dots, f_{jn}(f_{jj}(x_j))) \\ &= (f_{j1}(x_j), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j)) \\ &= h_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\leq (x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{split}$$

thus $h_i^2 = h_j \leq id$, so h_j is a kernel. Finally,

$$(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} h_{j})(x) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}(x) = (\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} f_{j1}(x_{j}), \dots, \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} f_{jn}(x_{j})) = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$$

, and so $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} h_j = id_L$. $\Leftarrow=:$ Suppose now that there are kernel homomorphisms h_1, \ldots, h_n on L such that $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} h_j = id_L$. Write $L_i = Imh_i$, the image of h_i , and define $f_{ij} : L_i \to L_j$ by $f_{ij}(h_i(x)) = L_i(L_i(x))$. $h_j(h_i(x))$, namely, f_{ij} is induced by the restriction of h_j to Imh_i . Since $h_i^2 = h_i \leq id_{L_i}$ by the hypothesis, we have $f_{ii} = id_{L_i}$ and $f_{kj} \circ f_{ik}(h_i(x)) = f_{kj}(h_k(h_i(x))) = h_j(h_k(\overline{h_i(x)})) \leq h_j(h_k(\overline{h_$ $h_j(h_i(x)) = f_{ij}(h_i(x)), i.e., f_{ij} \ge f_{kj} \circ f_{ik}$. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ exists. Consider the homomorphism $h: L \to \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ defined by $h(x) = (h_1(x), \dots, h_n(x))$. Observe that $h(x) = h(y) \Rightarrow h_i(x) = h_i(y) (\text{ for any } i) \Rightarrow x = \bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i(x) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i(y) = y,$

so h is injective. Now, for $(h_1(x_1), \ldots, h_n(x_n)) \in \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$, we have $h_j(x_j) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_{ij}(h_i(x_i))$. Let $z = \bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i(x_i)$. Then $h_j(z) = h_j(\bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n h_j(h_i(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_{ij}(h_i(x_i)) = h_j(x_j)$, whence $h(z) = (h_1(z), \ldots, h_n(z)) = (h_1(x_1), \ldots, h_n(x_n)).$

It follows that h is surjective and so $L \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i$.

Corollary 1. $L \in D_{0,1}$ is the special coproduct of $L_1, L_2 \in D_{0,1}$ if and only if L induces a pair of kernel homomorphisms h_1, h_2 such that $h_1 \vee h_2 = 1$.

Theorem 2. If $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in O$ then the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to (Ockham) homomorphisms $f_{ij}: L_i \to L_j$ is a subalgebra of $\prod_{i=1}^n L_i$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ implies $(x_1^{\sim}, \ldots, x_n^{\sim}) \in \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$. Then $(\forall i)(\forall j) f_{ij}(x_i) \leq x_j$ and $(\forall i)(\forall j) f_{ij}(x_i^{\sim}) \geq x_j^{\sim}$.

We thus have

$$(\forall i)(\forall j)f_{ji}(x_j^{\sim}) = f_{ji}(f_{jj}(x_j^{\sim})) \ge f_{ji}(f_{ij}(f_{ji}(x_j^{\sim}))) \ge f_{ji}(f_{ij}(x_i^{\sim})) \ge f_{ji}(x_j^{\sim})$$

So $(\forall i)(\forall j)f_{ji}(x_{i}^{\sim}) = f_{ji}(f_{ij}(x_{i}^{\sim})) \leq f_{ii}(x_{i}^{\sim}) = x_{i}^{\sim}$ and $(\forall i)(\forall j)f_{ij}(x_{i}^{\sim}) = x_{j}^{\sim}$. It follows that $(\forall j) \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} f_{ij}(x_{i}^{\sim}) = x_{j}^{\sim}$, whence $(x_{1}^{\sim}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\sim}) \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}$.

Corollary 2. If $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in K_{p,q}$ then the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to (Ockham) homomorphisms $f_{ij}: L_i \to L_j$ belongs to $K_{p,q}$.

Observe that Theorem 1 carries over to Ockham algebras. In fact, it suffices to replace all the bounded disreibutive lattices by Ockham algebras, and all the homomorphisms in $D_{0,1}$ by Ockham homomorphisms. That the lattice homomorphisms $h_j: \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \to \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ given by $h_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (f_{j1}(x_j), \ldots, f_{jn}(x_j))$ are Ockham homomorphisms follows from the fact $(\forall i)(\forall j)f_{ij}(x_i^{\sim}) = x_j^{\sim}$, for then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (*) & (h_j(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{\sim} & = & (f_{j1}(x_j^{\sim}), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j^{\sim})) \\ & = & (x_1^{\sim}, \dots, x_n^{\sim}) \\ & = & h_j(x_1^{\sim}, \dots, x_n^{\sim}). \end{array}$$

We now give a descripition of some properies of the special coproduct of Ockham algebras.

Theorem 3. Let $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in O$ and let $\sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ be the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to (Ockham) homomorphisms $f_{ij}: L_i \to L_j$. Then there exist kernel homomorphisms $h_k : \prod_{i=1}^n L_i \to \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ such that $\bigvee_{k=1}^n h_k = id$ and $L_k \simeq Imh_k(k = 1, \ldots, n)$. Moreover, $S(Imh_i) \simeq S(Imh_j)(\forall i, j)$.

Proof. Let $L_j^* = \{(f_{j1}(x_j), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j)) | x_j \in L_j\} (j = 1, \dots, n)$. Write $y_i = f_{ji}(x_j)$. Then $y_i = f_{ji}(x_j) \ge f_{ki}(f_{jk}(x_j)) = f_{ki}(y_k)(\forall j, k)$, and then each L_j^* is a subalgebra of

LUO CONGWEN

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i \text{ .Since } f_{jj}(x_j) = x_j, \text{ it is easy to see that } L_j \simeq L_j^* \text{ by } x_j \to (f_{j1}(x_j), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j)).$ Define the mapping $h_j \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i \to \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ by $h_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_{j1}(x_j), \dots, f_{jn}(x_j)).$ Then $Imh_j = L_j^* \simeq L_j.$ Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1 we have $h_j^2 = h_j \leq id$ and $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} h_j = id.$ Finally, we can see from (*) above that $S(Imh_j) \simeq S(\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i).$

Theorem 4. Let L_1, \ldots, L_n be DMS-algebras having isomorphic skeletons. Then the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n exists, and moreover, it can be simultaneously (Ockham) isomorphically embedded by each L_i .

Proof. Assume that $\alpha_i : S(L_i) \to S(L_1)$ is an (Ockham) isomorphism. Let $f_{ii} = id_{L_i}(\forall i)$, and for $i \neq j$ define $f_{ij} : L_i \to L_j$ by $f_{ij}(x_i) = \alpha_j^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim}))(\forall x_i \in L_i)$. Then these f_{ij} are (Ockham) homomorphisms. Observe that

$$(i \neq j, k \neq i, k \neq j) f_{kj}(f_{ik}(x_i)) = f_{kj}(\alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim})))$$

= $\alpha_j^{-1}(\alpha_k(\alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim}))))$
= $\alpha_j^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim}))$
= $f_{ij}(x_i).$

and

$$f_{ki}(f_{ik}(x_i)) = f_{ki}(\alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim}))) = \alpha_i^{-1}(\alpha_k(\alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_i(x_i^{\sim})))) = x_i^{\sim} \leq x_i$$

It follows that these f_{ij} satisfy (1) and (2) in the definition above, and hence the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n relative to f_{ij} exists.

Define now $g_i: L_i \to \sum_{i=1}^n L_i$ by $g_i(x_i) = (f_{i1}(x_i), \dots, f_{in}(x_i))$. We can see from the proof of Theorem 3 that each g_i is a homomorphism and is injective. Consequently, under g_i , each L_i can be (Ockham) isomorphically embedded into $\sum_{i=1}^n L_i$. By Theorem 3 and 4 we have immediately the following interesting fact.

Corollary 3. Let L_1, \ldots, L_n be DMS-algebras. Then the special coproduct of L_1, \ldots, L_n exists if and only if L_1, \ldots, L_n have isomorphic skeletons.

Example 1. Let *L* be a *DMS*-algebra. Define $L_1 = L_2 = L$ and let $f_{11} = f_{12} = f_{22} = id, f_{21}(x) = x^{\sim}(\forall x \in L)$. Then the conditions (1) and (2) in the definition above are satisfied, and so we can form the *DMS*-algebra

$$L + L = \{(x, y) \in L \times L | y^{\sim} \leq x \leq y\}$$

which then induces a pair of kernel homomorphisms h_1, h_2 such that $h_1 \vee h_2 = id$. More specifically, taking L to be the algebra S_9 described by

$$\begin{array}{c}
\circ & 1 = 0^{\sim} \\
\circ & b \\
\circ & a = a^{\sim} = b \\
\circ & 0 = 1^{\sim}
\end{array}$$

we have $S_9 + S_9 = \{(0,0), (a,a), (a,b), (b,b), (1,1)\}$, and so $S_9 + S_9$ is isomorphic to the following DMS-algebra



and the homomorphisms h_1 and h_2 are given by

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_1)$$
 and $h_2(x_1, x_2) = (x_2^{\sim \sim}, x_2)$.

So $Imh_1 = \{(0,0), (a,a), (b,b), (1,1)\}$ and $Imh_2 = \{(0,0), (a,a), (a,b), (1,1)\}$. The corresponding partitions are then

$$h_1 \sim \{\{0\}, \{y, z\}, \{x\}, \{1\}\} \text{ and } h_2 \sim \{\{0\}, \{x, y\}, \{z\}, \{1\}\},\$$

thus proving that $h_1 \vee h_2 = id$.

Example 2. Let *L* be a *DMS*-algebra. Define $L_1 = L_2 = L$ and let $f_{11} = f_{22} = id$, $f_{12}(x) = f_{21}(x) = x^{\sim} (\forall x \in L)$. Then the conditions (1) and (2) in the definition above are satisfied, and so the special coproduct relative to $f_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2)$ is as follows:

$$L + L = \{(x, y) \in L \times L | x^{\sim} = y^{\sim} \}$$

More specifically, taking $L = S_9$ as in Example 1, we have

 $S_9 + S_9 = \{(0,0), (a,a), (a,b), (b,a), (b,b), (1,1)\},\$

which is isomorphic to the DMS-algebra

$$\beta \circ \gamma$$

$$\beta \circ \gamma$$

$$\alpha = \alpha^{\sim} = \delta^{\sim} = \beta^{\sim} = \gamma^{\sim}$$

$$0 = 1^{\sim}$$

The associate kernel homomorphisms have the partitions

$$h_1 \sim \{\{0\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\delta, \gamma\}, \{1\}\} \text{ and } h_2 \sim \{\{0\}, \{\alpha, \gamma\}, \{\beta, \delta\}, \{1\}\}$$

thus proving that $h_1 \vee h_2 = id$.

LUO CONGWEN

$\operatorname{References}$

- [1] G.Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd.American Math Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
- [2] J.Berman, Distributive lattices with an additional unary operation, Aequationes Math., 1977,16:165-171.
- [3] H.P.Sankappanavar, Distributive lattices with a dual endomorphism, Zeitschr.f.Math.Logic.Grundlag.Math., 1985, 31:385-392.
- [4] R.Beazer, On some small varieties of Ockham algebras, Glasgow Math.j., 1984, 25:175-181.

Department of Mathematics, Three Gorges University Yichang 443000, P.R.China