AKIRA MIZUHARA

Received June 6, 2002; revised June 28, 2002

ABSTRACT. The structure of complete left symmetric algebras and that of simple left symmetric algebras over a solvable Lie algebra have been studied by many authors (cf.[K], [SEG], [B]).

In [SHI] the structure of left symmetric algebras with a principal idempotent was studied.

In this paper, we shall study the structure of left symmetric algebras with a principal idempotent in I (resp. a principal nilpotent in II) and give some examples of simple left symmetric algebras over a solvable Lie algebra in III.

I.[A] Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra over a field K of characteristic 0, and A a left symmetric algebra over \mathcal{G} .

A symmetric bilinear form B of A is called of $Hessian\ type([SHI])$ if the following equality holds:

$$B(xy,z) + B(y,xz) = B(yx,z) + B(x,yz) \qquad (x,y,z \in A)$$

Denote by h the symmetric bilinear form of A defined by

$$h(x,y) = \operatorname{Tr} R(xy) \qquad (x,y \in A),$$

where R(x) (resp. L(x)) denotes the right (resp. left) multiplication of A by x. Then h is of Hessian type. It is called *the canonical 2-form* of A.

Denote by A^{\perp} the linear subspace of A defined by

$$A^{\perp} = \{ x \in A; h(x, y) = 0 \ (y \in A) \}.$$

A is called *non degenerate* if $A^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

Let u be an element of A. u is called a principal idempotent if

- (1) uu = u, and
- (2) u generates a left ideal $\langle u \rangle$ of A

For a principal idempotent u of A, denote by P a linear subspace of A defined by

$$P = \{x \in A; xu = 0\}$$

Then P is a linear subspace of A containing [P, P] and $A = \{u\} \oplus P$ as a linear space. For $x, y \in P$, put

$$xy = x * y + B(x, y)u,$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 17D25.

Key words and phrases. simple algebra, non degenerate algebra, principal idempotent, principal nilpotent, radical.

Akira MIZUHARA

where x * y (resp. B(x, y)u) denote the *P*-component (resp. $\{u\}$ - component) of xy in $\{u\} \oplus P$.

By a direct calculation, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1 Let $A = \{u\} \oplus P$ be a left symmetric algebra with a principal idempotent u. Then we have the following:

- (1) (P, *) is a left symmetric algebra.
- (2) B is a symmetric bilinear form of (P, *) of Hessian type.
- (3) D = L(u) | P is a derivation of (P, *) stisfying the following relation:

 $B(x,y) = B(Dx,y) + B(x,Dy), \quad (x,y \in P) \quad (1)$

(4) h(u, u) = 1, h(u, P) = 0, $h = h^* + B$ on P,

where h (resp. h^*) denote the canonical 2-form of A (resp. P).

A pair (B, D) of a symmetric bilinear form B of Hessian type and a derivation D of (P, *) satisfying the condition (1) is called *compatible of 1st kind*.

For a given compatible pair (B, D) of 1st kind of a left symmetric algebra (P, *), define a binomial product on a linear space $A = \{u\} \oplus P$ as follows:

$$uu = u, ux = Dx, xu = 0,$$

$$xy = x * y + B(x, y)u \quad (x, y \in P).$$

Then we can easily prove that the algebra A(P, B, D) defined above is a left symmetric algebra $A = \{u\} \oplus P$ with a principal idempotent u.

Assume that the underlying Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of a left symmetric algebra A is a solvable Lie algebra over the field C of all complex numbers. Then there exists an element u of A which generates a left ideal $\langle u \rangle$ of A, by Lie's theorem. Moreover we may assume that uu = u, or uu = 0. Thus we obtain the following.

Proposition 1 Let A be a left symmetric algebra over a solvable Lie algebra over C. Assume that the radical $R(A) = \{0\}$. Then there exists a principal idempotent u of A and a compatible pair (B, D) of 1st kind satisfying the condition in Theorem 1.

[B] Let A(P, B, D) be a left symmetric algebra $\{u\} \oplus P$ with a principal idempotent u corresponding a compatible pair (B, D) of (P, *) of 1st kind.

It is clear that B = 0 if and only if P is an ideal of $A = \{u\} \oplus P$.

Let A' be an ideal of A. If $u + x(x \in P)$ is contained in A', then u = (u + x)u is an element of A'. Therefore we can easily prove the following.

Proposition 2 Let A' be an ideal of a left symmetric algebra $A = A(P, B, D) = \{u\} \oplus P$ with a principal idempotent u. Then we have the following.

(1) $A' = \{u\} \oplus Q$ is an ideal of A if and only if Q is an ideal of (P, *) satisfying $DP \subset Q$.

264

(2) A linear subspace Q of P is an ideal of A if and only if Q is an ideal of (P, *) satisfying $DQ \subset Q$ and B(P,Q) = 0.

Let $A_i(P_i, B_i, D_i)$ (i = 1, 2) be a left symmetric algebra $\{u_i\} \oplus P_i$ with a principal idempotent u_i constructed by (P_i, B_i, D_i) .

 $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ as an algebra. Let D (resp. B) be a derivation (resp. a symmetric bilinear form) of P defined as follows:

$$D \mid P_i = D_i, \\ B \mid P_i = B_i \text{ and } B(P_1, P_2) = 0.$$

Then it is clear that (B, D) is a compatible pair of P of 1st kind. The corresponding algebra $A = \{u\} \oplus P$ with a principal idempotent u is called the algebra constructed by the direct sum $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$.

A left symmetric algebra A(P, B, D) with a principal idempotent u is called *decomposable* if there exist non trivial algebras P_i with a compatible pair $(B_i, D_i)(i = 1, 2)$ such that

$$(P, B, D) = (P_1, B_1, D_1) \oplus (P_2, B_2, D_2)$$

By the definition and the above proposition, we obtain the following.

Proposition 3 Let A(P, B, D) be the left symmetric algebra constructed by the direct sum of $(P_i, B_i, D_i)(i = 1, 2)$. If both $(P_i, B_i, D_i)(i = 1, 2)$ are simple, then A is simple.

[C]

Proposition 4 Let \mathcal{G} be a solvable Lie algebra and $A = \{u\} \oplus P = A(P, B, D)$ a left symmetric algebra over \mathcal{G} with a principal idempotent u corresponding to a compatible pair (B, D) of 1st kind of a left symmetric algebra P.

If D is non singular and B is non degenerate, then A is simple and non degenerate.

In fact, if D is non singular, then we have P = [A, A]. Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} R^*(x) = \operatorname{Tr} R(x) = 0 \ (x \in P),$$

where R^* denotes the right multiplication of (P, *). Therefore (P, *) is a complete algebra over a nilpotent Lie algebra $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Moreover if B is non degenerate, then A is non degenerate, by Theorm 1 (4), and simple, by Proposition2.

A symmetric bilinear form B of (P, *) is called *a trace* form if the following relation holds:

$$B(x * y, z) = B(x, y * z) \ (x, y, z \in P).$$

Lemma 1 If a left symmetric algebra (P, *) is commutative, then

(1) (P, *) is associative,

(2) a symmetric bilinear form B of P of Hessian type is a trace form, and

(3) $P(B)^{\perp}$ is an ideal of P, where $P(B)^{\perp}$ denotes a linear subspace of P defined by

$$P(B)^{\perp} = \{ x \in P; B(x, y) = 0 (y \in P) \}.$$

Proposition 5 If (P, *) is commutative and complete, then $P(B)^{\perp}$ is an ideal of A(P, B, D). Therefore if A(P, B, D) is simple, then the symmetric bilinear form B is non degenerate.

A derivation D of P is called *split* if all eigen values of a linear endomorphism D of P are contained in the base field K.

For an eigen value λ of a split derivation D, denote by P_{λ} the linear subspace of P defined by

$$P_{\lambda} = \{ x \in P; (D - \lambda id)^m x = 0, \text{ for some positive integer } m \}.$$

Then P is decomposed into the direct sum $P = \bigoplus_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}$ of weight spaces $\{P_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ satisfying $P_{\lambda} * P_{\mu} \subset P_{\lambda+\mu}$.

By Theorem 1,(3), we obtain the following.

Proposition 6 Let A(P, B, D) be a left symmetric algebra corresponding to a compatible pair of a zero algebra P of 1st kind with a split derivation D.

If A is simple and indecomposable, then

- (1) B is non degenerate, and
- (2) $P = P_{1/2}$ or $P = P_{\lambda} \oplus P_{1-\lambda}$ $(\lambda \in K, \lambda \neq 1/2, 0, 1).$

II.[A] Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra over K, and A a left symmetric algebra over \mathcal{G} . An element v of A is called *principal nilpotent* if

(1)
$$R(v) = 0$$
, and

(2) $v \notin [A, A]$.

For a principal nilpotent v of A, there exists a linear subspace P of A of codimension 1 such that

- (1) $P \supset [A, A]$, and
- (2) $A = \{v\} \oplus P$ as a linear space.

For $x, y \in P$, put

$$xy = x * y + C(x, y)v,$$

where x * y (resp. C(x, y)v) denotes the *P*-component (resp. $\{u\}$ – component) of xy. Then we have

- (1) [x, y] = x * y y * x,
- (2) C(x,y) = C(y,x).

Moreover we can easily prove the following.

Theorem 2 Let $A = \{v\} \oplus P$ be a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v. Then we have the following:

- (1) (P, *) is a left symmetric algebra.
- (2) C is a symmetric bilinear form of (P, *) of Hessian type.
- (3) D = L(v) | P is a derivation of (P, *) satisfying the following relation:

$$C(Dx, y) + C(x, Dy) = 0 \ (x, y \in P).$$
(2)

Conversely, let (P, *) be a left symmetric algebra with a symmetric bilinear form C of Hessian type and a derivation D satisfying the above relation (2).

Define a bilinear product on a linear space $A = \{v\} \oplus P$ as follows:

$$vv = 0, vx = Dx, xv = 0, and$$

 $xy = x * y + C(x, y)v (x, y \in P).$

Then it is clear that the algebra A(P, C, D) with the above multiplication is a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v. (C, D) is called a compatible pair of P of 2th kind.

Proposition 7 Let A(P, C, D) be a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v corresponding to a compatible pair (C, D) of 2nd kind. Then A is complete if and only if (P, *) is complete.

In fact, for $x \in P$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}R(x) = \mathrm{Tr}R^*(x),$$

where R (resp. R^*) denotes the right multiplication of A (resp. (P, *)). \Box

We can easily prove the following.

Proposition2' Let A(P, C, D) be a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v corresponding to a compatible pair (C, D) of 2nd kind.

- (1) $A' = \{v\} \oplus Q$ is an ideal of $A = \{v\} \oplus P$ if and only if Q is an ideal of (P, *) satisfying $DQ \subset Q$.
- (2) A linear subspace Q of P is an ideal of A if and only if Q is an ideal of (P, *) satisfying $DQ \subset Q$ and C(P,Q) = 0.

Remark. There exists an ideal A' of A(P, C, D) such that $v \notin A'$ and $A' \notin P$. (cf. Example (d). $A_1(P_1, C_1, D_1)$).

Let $A_i(P_i, C_i, D_i)$ (i = 1, 2) be a left symmetric algebra $\{v_i\} \oplus P_i$ with a principal nilpotent v_i constructed by (P_i, C_i, D_i) .

Put $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ as an algebra. Denote by D (resp. C) a derivation (resp. a symmetric bilinear form) of P defined as follows:

Akira MIZUHARA

$$D | P_i = D_i, C | P_i = C_i \text{ and } C(P_1, P_2) = 0 \ (i = 1, 2).$$

Then it is clear that (C, D) is a compatible pair of P of 2nd kind. The corresponding algebra $A = \{v\} \oplus P$ with a principal nilpotent v is called the algebra constructed by the direct sum $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$.

A left symmetric algebra A(P, C, D) with a principal nilpotent v is called *decomposable* if there exist non trivial algebra P_i (i = 1, 2) with a compatible pair (C_i, D_i) of 2nd kind such that $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$

Proposition3' Let A(P, C, D) be the algebra constructed by the direct sum (P_i, C_i, D_i) (i = 1, 2). If both the algebra $A_i(P_i, C_i, D_i)$ are simple, then A is simple.

Let (P, *) be a complete left symmetric algebra over a nilpotent Lie algebra, and (C, D)a compatible pair of P of 2nd kind. Denote by A(P, C, D) the left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v corresponding to (C, D). Then, by Proposition 7, A is complete. Moreover, by Propsition 2', if DP = P and C is non degenerate, then A is simple. Thus we obtain the following.

Proposition 8 Let (P, *) be a complete left symmetric algebra over a nilpotent Lie algebra, and A(P, C, D) a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v corresponding to a compatible pair (C, D) of P of 2nd kind.

If DP = P and C is non degenerate, then A is complete and simple.

Corollary Assume that (P, *) is a zero algebra. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (1) A(P, C, D) is complete and simple.
- (2) DP = P and C is non degenerate.

[B] Let \mathcal{G} be a complex Lie algebra, (V, ρ) a \mathcal{G} -module corresponding to a Lie homomorphism ρ of \mathcal{G} into the linear endomorphism ring gl(V) of V, and A a left symmetric algebra over \mathcal{G} .

We can easily prove the following.

Lemma 2 For any $\alpha, \beta \in C$, $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ and $u \in V$, we have

$$(\rho(x) - (\alpha + \beta)\mathrm{id})^m(\rho(y)u) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \rho((\mathrm{ad}x - \beta\mathrm{id})^k y) \{(\rho(x) - \alpha\mathrm{id})^{m-k}u\}.$$

Corollary For a left symmetric algebra A over G. We have

(3)
$$(L(x) - (\alpha + \beta)\operatorname{id})^m(yz) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} L((\operatorname{ad} x - \beta \operatorname{id})^k y) \{(L(x) - \alpha \operatorname{id})^{m-k} z\}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in C, x, y, z \in A$.

Assume that \mathcal{G} is a solvable Lie algebra. Then, by Lie's theorem, there exists a base $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of A such that L(x) $(x \in A)$ is expressed as a upper triangular matrix with respect to the base $\{x_i\}$. The base $\{x_i\}$ of A is called a canonical base of a left symmetric algebra A. Denote by $(L(x)_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ (resp. $(R(x)_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$) the matrix representation of L(x) (resp. R(x)) with respect to the canonical base $\{x_i\}$.

Lemma 3 Let $\langle v \rangle$ be a left ideal of A generated by v with vv = 0. Then we have [L(v), R(v)] = 0.

In fact, since A is left symmetric, we have the following equality:

$$[L(x), R(x)] = R(x)R(y) + R(xy) \ (x, y \in A). \ (4)$$

For a left ideal $\langle v \rangle$, there exists a canonical base $\{x_i\}$ of A such that $x_1 = v$. With respect to the base $\{x_i\}$, denote by ϵ_i the (1,1)-component of $L(x_i)$. Then we have

$$R(v)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{ij}, & \text{if } (i,j) = (1,j) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the assumption that vv = 0, $\epsilon_1 = 0$ and $R(v)^2 = 0$. Thus, by (4), we obtain the desired equality. \Box

For a left ideal $\langle v \rangle$ with vv = 0, denote by $A^{\alpha}(v)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(v)$) a linear subspace of $A(=\mathcal{G})$ defined by

 $A^{\alpha}(v) = \{x \in A; (L(v) - \alpha \mathrm{id})^m x = 0, \text{ for some positive integer } m\}$

(resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(v) = \{x \in A; (\operatorname{ad} v - \alpha \operatorname{id})^m x = 0, \text{ for some positive integer } m\}$).

Proposition 9 Let A be a left symmetric algebra over a complex solvable Lie algebra \mathcal{G} and $\langle v \rangle$ a left ideal of A with vv = 0. Then we have

(1) $A^{\alpha}(v) = \mathcal{G}^{\alpha}(v)$, for any $\alpha \in C$,

(2) $A(v)^{\alpha}A(v)^{\beta} \subset A^{\alpha+\beta}(v).$

Therefore $A^0(v)(=\mathcal{G}^0(v))$ is a subalgebra of A containing v.

Proof. (1) Since [L(v), R(v)] = 0, by Lemma 4, we obtain the equality. (2) By (1) and the equality (3), Lemma 3, Corollary, we obtain the inclusion (2). \Box

Now we quote the following ([K],[SEG]).

Lemma 4 Let A be a left symmetric algebra over a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . Then the following statements (1), (2) and (3) (resp. (4) and (5)) are mutually equivalent:

- (1) A is complete,
- (2) R(x) ($x \in A$) is nilpotent,

- (3) $\operatorname{Tr} R(x) = 0 \ (x \in A).$
- (4) L(x) ($x \in A$) is nilpotent,
- (5) R(x) ($x \in A$) is nilpotent and \mathcal{G} is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Proposition 10 Let A be a left symmetric algebra over a complex solvable Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , $\langle v \rangle$ a left ideal of A with vv = 0, and $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha} A^{\alpha}(v)$ the weight space decomposition of A with respect to L(v).

If $A^0(v)$ is a proper subalgebra of A and $R(v) \mid A^0(v) = 0$, then v is a principal nilpotent of A.

In fact, since $\langle v \rangle$ is a left ideal of A, we have

$$A^{\alpha}(v)v = 0 \ (\alpha \not\in 0),$$

by Proposition 9. Therefore $R(v) \mid A^0(v) = 0$ implies that R(v) = 0.

Moreover since $A^0(v)$ (= $\mathcal{G}^0(v)$) $\neq A$, L(v) is not nilpotent, that is, $v \notin [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Thus v is a principal nilpotent of A. \Box

Corollary 1 If $A^0(v)$ is a complete, proper subalgebra of A over a nilpotent Lie algebra, then v is a principal nilpotent of A.

In fact, if $A^0(v)$ is a complete algebra over a nilpotent Lie algebra, then, by Lemma 5, $L(x) \mid A^0 \ (x \in A^0(v))$ is nilpotent. Therefore we have $R(v) \mid A^0(v) = 0$. \Box

Corollary 2 If dim $A^0(v) = 1$, then A is complete and v is a principal nilpotent of A.

In fact, if dim $A^0(v) = 1$, then v is a principal nilpotent, by Corollary 1. Moreover any element x of $A^{\alpha}(v)$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) is contained in the derived Lie algebra $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{Tr} R(x) = 0$ ($x \in A$). \Box

Corollary 3 Assume that A is complete. If $A^0(v)$ is a proper subalgebra of A and the underlying Lie algebra of $A^0(v)$ is nilpotent, then v is a principal nilpotent of A.

In fact, since A is complete, $A^0(v)$ is also complete, by lemma 4. If the underlying Lie algebra of a complete subalgebra $A^0(v)$ is nilpotent, then $L(x) \mid A^0(v) \ (x \in A^0(v))$ is nilpotent, by Lemma 4. Therefore $R(v) \mid A^0(v) = 0$. \Box

[C] Let $A_i(P_i, C_i, D_i)$ (i = 1, 2) be a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v_i constructed by a compatible pair (C_i, D_i) of P_i of 2nd kind, and A(P, C, D) the left symmetric algebra with a pincipal nilpotent v constructed by the direct sum $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$. Then $\{v\} \oplus Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ $(Q_i \subset P_i)$ is a left ideal of A if and only if $\{v_i\} \oplus Q_i$ (i = 1, 2) is a left ideal of A_i . Moreover we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} R(x_i) = \operatorname{Tr} R_i^*(x_i), \text{ and} h(x_i, y_i) = h_i^*(x_i, y_i) \ (x_i, y_i \in P_i)$$

where R (resp. R_i^*) denotes the right multiplication of A (resp. $(P_i, *)$) and h (resp. h_i^*) denotes the canonical 2-form of A (resp. $(P_i, *)$). Thus we obtain the following.

Proposition 11 Let $A_i(P_i, C_i, D_i)$ (i = 1, 2) be a left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v_i , and A(P, C, D) the left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v constructed by the direct sum $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$.

(1) The radical R(A) of A is expressed as

$$R(A) = \{v\} \oplus Q_1 \oplus Q_2,$$

where Q_i is a D_i -invariant left ideal of $(P_i, *)$ such that $R(A_i) = \{v_i\} \oplus Q_i$ (i = 1, 2).

(2) $(P_i, *)$ is non degenerate if and only if

$$R(A_i) = A_i^{\perp} = \{v_i\},\$$

where A_i^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of A_i with respect to the canonical 2form h_i of A_i (i = 1, 2).

Corollary Assume that $(P_1, *)$ is complete and $(P_2, *)$ is non degenerate. Then we have the following:

- (1) $R(A) = \{v\} \oplus P_1, and$
- (2) if $D_2 \neq 0$, then $R(A) = \{v\} \oplus P_2$ is not an ideal of A.

Remark. Using the above corollary, we can construct a left symmetric algebra A over a solvable Lie algebra whose radical R(A) is not an ideal of A. Therefore a theorem stated in my paper[M1] is a fault (cf. Example (d)).

III. Let (P, *) be a left symmetric algebra over K. We shall give some examples of compatibale pair (B, D) (resp. (C, D)) of 1st kind (resp. 2nd kind).

(a) $P\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$: a zero algebra with a base $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$.

- (1) $Dx_i = \frac{1}{2}x_i, B(x_i, x_j) = \delta_{ij}$
- $Dx_i = \frac{1}{2}x_i,$

$$B(x_i, x_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i + j \neq m+1, \\ 1, & \text{if } i + j = m+1. \end{cases}$$

(3)

$$Dx_i = \frac{1}{2}x_i + x_{i-1},$$

$$B(x_i, x_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i+j \neq m+1, \\ (-1)^{i-1}, & \text{if } i+j = m+1, \end{cases}$$

where m is an odd integer and $x_0 = 0$.

The corresponding algebra A(P, B, D) are simple and non degenerate([SHI], [B] for(1)).

- (b) $P\{x_i, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le m}$: a zero algebra with a base $\{x_i, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le m}$.
- (1) $Dx_i = \lambda x_i, Dy_i = (1 \lambda)y_i \ (\lambda \in K, \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 0, 1)$ $B(x_i, x_j) = 0, B(y_i, y_j) = 0, B(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{ij},$
- (2) $Dx_i = \lambda x_i + x_{i-1}, Dy_i = (1 \lambda)y_i + y_{i-1} \ (\lambda \in K, \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 0, 1), B(x_i, x_j) = 0, B(y_i, y_j) = 0,$

$$B(x_i, y_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i+j \neq m+1, \\ (-1)^{i-1}, & \text{if } i+j = m+1. \end{cases}$$

- (3) $Dx_i = \lambda x_i, Dy_i = -\lambda y_i \ (\lambda \in K, \lambda \neq 0), C(x_i, x_j) = 0, C(y_i, y_j) = 0, C(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{ij},$
- (4) $Dx_i = \lambda x_i + x_{i-1}, Dy_i = -\lambda y_i + y_{i-1} \ (\lambda \in K, \lambda \neq 0), C(x_i, x_j) = 0, C(y_i, y_j) = 0,$

$$C(x_i, y_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i+j \neq m+1, \\ (-1)^{i-1}, & \text{if } i+j = m+1, \end{cases}$$

where m is an odd integer and $x_0 = y_0 = 0$, for (2) and (4).

Both the algebra A(P, B, D) (1) and (2) are simple and non degenerate. Both the algebra A(P, B, D) (3) and (4) are complete and simple ([B] for (1),(3)).

(c) $P\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$: a complete algebra with the following multiplication table.

P	w_1	w_2	w_3
w_1	0	0	0
w_2	0	0	$2w_1$
w_3	0	w_1	0

(1)
$$Dw_1 = -\beta w_1, Dw_2 = \beta w_2, Dw_3 = -2\beta w_3, (\beta \in K, \beta \neq 0)$$

C	w_1	w_2	w_3	
w_1	0	1	0	
w_2	1	0	0	
w_3	0	0	0	

(2)
$$Dw_1 = w_1, Dw_2 = \beta w_2, Dw_3 = (1 - \beta)w_3, (\beta \in K, \beta \neq 0, 1)$$

B	w_1	w_2	w_3
w_1	0	0	0
w_2	0	0	1
w_3	0	1	0

(3)
$$Dw_1 = (1 - \beta)w_1, Dw_2 = \beta w_2, Dw_3 = (1 - 2\beta)w_3, (\beta \in K, \beta \neq 0, 1)$$

B	w_1	w_2	w_3
w_1	0	1	0
w_2	1	0	0
w_3	0	0	0

The algebra A(P, B, D)(1) is complete and simple (cf. [B]). The algebra A(P, B, D)(2) is degenerate, and the radical R(A) of $A (= A^{\perp} = \{w_1\})$ is an ideal of A. The algebra A(P, B, D)(3) is simple, R(A) = 0, and $A^{\perp} = \{w_3\}$.

Let (P, *) be a left symmetric algebra, and h^* the canonical 2-form of (P, *). For any derivation D of (P, *), we have

$$[D, R^*(x)] = R^*(Dx)$$
, ie. $\operatorname{Tr} R^* = 0$.

Therefore we obtain the following.

Lemma For any derivation D of (P, *), (h^*, D) is a compatible pair of 2nd kind.

(d)

(1) $P_1\{x, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le r}$ $(r \ge 2)$: a non degenerate algebra with a base $\{x, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le r}$ having the following multiplication:

$$x * x = x,$$

$$x * y_i = \frac{1}{2}y_i,$$

$$y_i * x = 0,$$

$$y_i * y_j = \delta_{i r-j+1}.$$

$$D_1 x = 0,$$

 $\begin{aligned} D_1 y_i &= \alpha_i y_i, \\ \alpha_i &+ \alpha_{r-i+1} = 0, \end{aligned}$

$$\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r: \text{ odd and } i = \frac{r+1}{2}, \\ nonzero, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 C_1 = the canoncial 2-form h_1 of P_1 .

- (2) $P_2\{z_i\}_{1 \le i \le 2s}$: a zero algebra with a base $\{z_i\}_{1 \le i \le 2s}$. $D_2 z_i = \beta_i z_i$, $\beta_i + \beta_{2s-i+1} = 0 \ (\beta_i \ne 0)$, $C_2(z_i, z_j) = \delta_{i\,2s-j+1}$.
- (3) $(P_3 \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, C_3, D_3)$ is the algebra with a compatible pair (C_3, D_3) of 2nd kind described in (c), (1).

Denote by A_{ij} (resp. A_{123}) the simple left symmetric algebra with a principal nilpotent v constructed by the direct sum $P_i \oplus P_j$ (resp. $P_1 \oplus (P_2 \oplus P_3)$) $(1 \le i \ne j \le 3)$. Then we obtain the following :

- (1) A_{23} is complete,
- (2) the radical $R(A_{12})$ (resp. A_{13} , A_{123}) is a left ideal $\{v\} \oplus P_2$ (resp. $\{v\} \oplus P_3$, $\{v\} \oplus (P_2 \oplus P_3)$) of A_{12} (resp. A_{13} , A_{123}) which is not an ideal.
- (3) $R(A_{12}) = A_{12}^{\perp}$ (resp. $R(A_{13}) = A_{13}^{\perp}$, $R(A_{123}) = A_{123}^{\perp}$).
- (e) Let A be a left symmetric algebra of dimension 3r with a base $\{u_i, x_i, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le r}$ and the following multiplication table:
 - (1) $\begin{aligned} u_i u_j &= \delta_{ij} u_j, \ u_i x_j = \alpha_{ij} x_j, \ u_i y_j = \beta_{ij} y_j, \ x_j u_i = y_j u_i = 0, \\ x_i x_j &= y_i y_j = 0, \ x_i y_j = y_j x_i = \delta_{ij} u_j \\ \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} &\neq 0, \ \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$
 - (2) $u_i u_j = 0, \ u_i x_j = \alpha_{ij} x_j, \ u_i y_j = \beta_{ij} y_j, \ x_j u_i = y_j u_i = 0,$ $x_i x_j = y_i y_j = 0, \ x_i y_j = y_j x_i = \delta_{ij} u_j$ $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \neq 0, \ \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} = 0, \ \det(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\cdots,r} \neq 0$

The algebra (1) (resp. (2)) is simple and non degenerate (resp. simple and complete).

(f) Let A_1 (resp. A_2, A_3) be a left symmetric algebra of dimension 8 with a base $\{v_1, v_2, x_i, y_i\}_{1 \le i \le 3}$ and the following multiplication:

$$v_i v_j = 0, v_i x_j = \alpha_{ij} x_j, v_i x_j = -\alpha_{ij} y_j$$

$$x_i v_j = y_i v_j = 0, x_i x_j = y_i y_j = 0$$

(1) $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$, except for (i, j) = (2, 3),

$$x_i y_j = y_j x_i = \begin{cases} v_1 + v_2, & \text{if } i = j = 1, 2, \\ v_1, & \text{if } i = j = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$, except for (i, j) = (2, 2), (2, 3)

$$x_i y_j = y_j x_i = \begin{cases} v_1 + v_2, & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ v_1, & \text{if } i = j = 2, 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$, except for (i, j) = (2, 1), (1, 3)

$$x_i y_j = y_j x_i = \begin{cases} v_1, & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ v_1 + v_2, & \text{if } i = j = 2, \\ v_2, & \text{if } i = j = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then A_1 (resp. A_2 , A_3) is a simple complete algebra([B]).

References

- [B] D.Burde, Simple left symmetric algebras with solvable Lie algebra, Manuscripta Math. 95, 397-411(1998).
- [H] J.Helmstetter, Radical d'une algèbar symmétrique à gauche, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble), 29, 17-25(1979).

- [H] H.Kim, Complete left symmetric affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups, J. differential Geometry 24, 373-394(1986).
- [M1] A.Mizuhara, On the radical of a left symmetric algebra II, Tensor, N. S. 40, 221-240 (1983).
- [M2] A.Mizuhara, On left symmetric algebra with a principal idempotent, Math. Japonica 49,39– 50(1999).
- [SEC] D.Segal, The structure of complete left symmetric algebras, Math. Ann. 293, 569-578(1992).
- [SHI] H. Shima, Homogenous Hessian manifold, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 30, 91-128(1980).

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO SANGYO UNIV. KAMIGAMO, KITA-KU, KYOTO, 603-8555, JAPAN