

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PSEUDO-BCK ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN

Received May 21, 2002

ABSTRACT. A characterization of a pseudo-*BCK* algebra is provided. Some properties of a pseudo-*BCK* algebra are investigated. Conditions for a pseudo-*BCK* algebra to be  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered (resp.  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered) are given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of *BCK*-algebras was initiated by K. Iséki in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus. G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu [1] introduced the notion of a pseudo-*BCK* algebra as an extension of *BCK*-algebra. In this paper, we give a characterization of pseudo-*BCK* algebra, and investigate some properties. We provide conditions for a pseudo-*BCK* algebra to be  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered (resp.  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For further details of *BCK*-algebras we refer to [3]. The notion of pseudo-*BCK* algebras is introduced by Georgescu and Iorgulescu [1] as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** A pseudo-*BCK* algebra is a structure  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$ , where “ $\leq$ ” is a binary relation on  $X$ , “ $*$ ” and “ $\diamond$ ” are binary operations on  $X$  and “0” is an element of  $X$ , verifying the axioms: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (a1)  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * y, (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y,$
- (a2)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y, x \diamond (x * y) \leq y,$
- (a3)  $x \leq x,$
- (a4)  $0 \leq x,$
- (a5)  $x \leq y, y \leq x \implies x = y,$
- (a6)  $x \leq y \iff x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0.$

**Remark 2.2.** ([1, Remark 1.2]) If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-*BCK* algebra satisfying  $x * y = x \diamond y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a *BCK*-algebra.

In a pseudo-*BCK* algebra we have (see [1])

- (p1)  $x \leq y \implies z * y \leq z * x, z \diamond y \leq z \diamond x.$
- (p2)  $x \leq y, y \leq z \implies x \leq z.$
- (p3)  $(x * y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) * y.$
- (p4)  $x * y \leq z \iff x \diamond z \leq y.$
- (p5)  $x * y \leq x, x \diamond y \leq x.$
- (p6)  $x * 0 = x = x \diamond 0.$
- (p7)  $x \leq y \implies x * z \leq y * z, x \diamond z \leq y \diamond z.$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

*Key words and phrases.* (Positive implicative) pseudo-*BCK* algebra,  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered,  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered.

- (p8)  $x \wedge y$  (and  $y \wedge x$ ) is a lower bound for  $\{x, y\}$ , where  $x \wedge y := y \diamond (y * x)$  (and  $y \wedge x := x \diamond (x * y)$ ).
- (p9)  $x \cap y$  (and  $y \cap x$ ) is a lower bound for  $\{x, y\}$  where  $x \cap y := y * (y \diamond x)$  (and  $y \cap x := x * (x \diamond y)$ ).

**Definition 2.3.** ([1, Definition 1.2]) We say that the pseudo-*BCK* algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is

- $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered if  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , that is, it satisfies the equality:

$$y \diamond (y * x) = x \diamond (x * y), \forall x, y \in X,$$

- $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered if  $x \cap y = y \cap x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , that is, it satisfies the equality:

$$y * (y \diamond x) = x * (x \diamond y), \forall x, y \in X,$$

- inf-semi-lattice ordered if it is both  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered and  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered.

### 3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PSEUDO-*BCK* ALGEBRAS

For any element  $x$  of a pseudo-*BCK* algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the *initial section* of  $x$  is defined to be the set

$$\downarrow x := \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-*BCK* algebra. For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\downarrow(x \wedge y) \subset \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y \text{ and } \downarrow(x \cap y) \subset \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y.$$

*Proof.* If  $z \in \downarrow(x \wedge y)$ , then  $z \leq x \wedge y$ . Since  $x \wedge y$  is a lower bound for  $\{x, y\}$ , it follows from (a5) that  $z \leq x$  and  $z \leq y$  so that  $z \in \downarrow x$  and  $z \in \downarrow y$ , that is,  $z \in \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ . Let  $w \in \downarrow(x \cap y)$ . Then  $w \leq x \cap y$ . Since  $x \cap y$  is a lower bound for  $\{x, y\}$ , it follows from (a5) that  $w \leq x$  and  $w \leq y$ . Hence  $w \in \downarrow x$  and  $w \in \downarrow y$ , and thus  $w \in \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** ([1, Proposition 1.15]) Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-*BCK* algebra.

- (i) If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered, then  $x \wedge y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered, then  $x \cap y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-*BCK* algebra. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered, then  $\downarrow(x \wedge y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ . Then  $z \leq x$  and  $z \leq y$ . Hence  $z \leq x \wedge y$  since  $x \wedge y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$  by Lemma 3.2. This implies  $z \in \downarrow(x \wedge y)$ . Thus  $\downarrow x \cap \downarrow y \subset \downarrow(x \wedge y)$ . Since the reverse inclusion is by Proposition 3.1, we conclude that  $\downarrow(x \wedge y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-*BCK* algebra such that

$$\downarrow(x \wedge y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered.

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\downarrow(x \wedge y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y = \downarrow y \cap \downarrow x = \downarrow(y \wedge x).$$

Hence  $x \wedge y \in \downarrow(y \wedge x)$  and  $y \wedge x \in \downarrow(x \wedge y)$ . Therefore  $x \wedge y \leq y \wedge x$  and  $y \wedge x \leq x \wedge y$ . It follows from (a5) that  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-*BCK* algebra which is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered. Then  $\downarrow(x \cap y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y$ . Then  $w \leq x$  and  $w \leq y$ . Since  $x \cap y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$ , we have  $w \leq x \cap y$ , that is,  $w \in \downarrow(x \cap y)$ . Hence  $\downarrow x \cap \downarrow y \subset \downarrow(x \cap y)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCK algebra. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies the equality*

$$\downarrow(x \cap y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

*then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $\downarrow(x \cap y) = \downarrow x \cap \downarrow y = \downarrow y \cap \downarrow x = \downarrow(y \cap x)$ , and so  $x \cap y \in \downarrow(y \cap x)$  and  $y \cap x \in \downarrow(x \cap y)$ . Hence  $x \cap y \leq y \cap x$  and  $y \cap x \leq x \cap y$ . Using (a5), we get  $x \cap y = y \cap x$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *In any pseudo-BCK algebra we have*

$$x * (y \wedge x) = x * y \text{ and } x \diamond (y \cap x) = x \diamond y.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $x * (y \wedge x) = x * (x \diamond (x * y)) \leq x * y$  by (a2). Since  $y \wedge x \leq y$ , it follows from (p1) that  $x * y \leq x * (y \wedge x)$ . Hence, by (a5), we have  $x * (y \wedge x) = x * y$ . Now using (a2), we obtain

$$x \diamond (y \cap x) = x \diamond (x * (x \diamond y)) \leq x \diamond y.$$

The inequality  $y \cap x \leq y$  and the condition (p1) imply  $x \diamond y \leq x \diamond (y \cap x)$ . Therefore  $x \diamond y = x \diamond (y \cap x)$  by (a5). This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now provide a characterization of a pseudo-BCK algebra.

**Theorem 3.8.** *A structure  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCK algebra if and only if it satisfies (a1), (a5), (a6) and*

$$(b1) \ x * (0 \diamond y) = x = x \diamond (0 * y).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCK algebra. Then  $x * (0 \diamond y) \leq x$  and  $x \diamond (0 * y) \leq x$ . Now  $x \diamond (x * (0 \diamond y)) \leq 0 \diamond y = 0$  and  $x * (x \diamond (0 * y)) \leq 0 * y = 0$ , which imply that  $x \diamond (x * (0 \diamond y)) = 0$  and  $x * (x \diamond (0 * y)) = 0$ , that is,  $x \leq x * (0 \diamond y)$  and  $x \leq x \diamond (0 * y)$ . Hence, by (a5), we conclude that  $x * (0 \diamond y) = x = x \diamond (0 * y)$ . Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  be a structure satisfying (a1), (a5), (a6) and (b1). Putting  $x = z = 0$  in (a1), we have  $(0 * y) \diamond (0 * 0) \leq 0 * y$  and  $(0 \diamond y) * (0 \diamond 0) \leq 0 \diamond y$ . It follows from (a6) and (b1) that

$$0 = ((0 * y) \diamond (0 * 0)) \diamond (0 * y) = (0 * y) \diamond (0 * 0) = 0 * y \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$0 = ((0 \diamond y) * (0 \diamond 0)) * (0 \diamond y) = (0 \diamond y) * (0 \diamond 0) = 0 \diamond y \quad (3.2)$$

so from (a6) that  $0 \leq y$ . Combining (3.1), (3.2) and (b1) implies

$$x \diamond 0 = x \diamond (0 * y) = x = x * (0 \diamond y) = x * 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting 0 for  $y$  and  $z$  in (a1) and using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$x \diamond x = (x * 0) \diamond (x * 0) \leq 0 * 0 = 0$$

and

$$x * x = (x \diamond 0) * (x \diamond 0) \leq 0 \diamond 0 = 0.$$

Since  $0 \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ , it follows from (a6) that  $x \diamond x = 0 = x * x$ , that is,  $x \leq x$ . Replacing  $y$  by 0 in (a1) and using (3.3), we get

$$x \diamond (x * z) = (x * 0) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * 0 = z$$

and

$$x * (x \diamond z) = (x \diamond 0) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond 0 = z.$$

Hence the structure  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCK algebra.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *In any pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we have*

$$(b2) \ (y \wedge x) \diamond (y * x) \leq x \diamond (x * (x \wedge y)).$$

$$(b3) \quad (y \cap x) * (y \diamond x) \leq x * (x \diamond (x \cap y)).$$

*Proof.* (b2) For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((y \wedge x) \diamond (y * x)) * (x \diamond (x * (x \wedge y))) \\ &= ((x \diamond (x * y)) \diamond (y * x)) * (x \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x)))) \\ &= ((x * (x \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x))))) \diamond (x * y)) \diamond (y * x) \\ &= ((x * (y \diamond (y * x))) \diamond (x * y)) \diamond (y * x) \\ &\leq (y * (y \diamond (y * x))) \diamond (y * x) \\ &= (y * x) \diamond (y * x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (a4) and (a5) that

$$((y \wedge x) \diamond (y * x)) * (x \diamond (x * (x \wedge y))) = 0,$$

that is,  $(y \wedge x) \diamond (y * x) \leq x \diamond (x * (x \wedge y))$ .

(b3) Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((y \cap x) * (y \diamond x)) \diamond (x * (x \diamond (x \cap y))) \\ &= ((x * (x \diamond y)) * (y \diamond x)) \diamond (x * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x)))) \\ &= ((x \diamond (x * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x))))) * (x \diamond y)) * (y \diamond x) \\ &= ((x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x))) * (x \diamond y)) * (y \diamond x) \\ &\leq (y \diamond (y * (y \diamond x))) * (y \diamond x) \\ &= (y \diamond x) * (y \diamond x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ , it follows from (a5) that

$$((y \cap x) * (y \diamond x)) \diamond (x * (x \diamond (x \cap y))) = 0$$

so that  $(y \cap x) * (y \diamond x) \leq x * (x \diamond (x \cap y))$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.10.** A pseudo-*BCK* algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be *positive implicative* if it satisfies

$$(a7) \quad (x * z) \diamond (y * z) = (x \diamond y) * z, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X,$$

$$(a8) \quad (x \diamond z) * (y \diamond z) = (x * y) \diamond z, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X,$$

**Proposition 3.11.** *If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a positive implicative pseudo-BCK algebra, then  $x * y = x \diamond y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= (x * y) \diamond 0 = (x * y) \diamond (y * y) = (x \diamond y) * y \\ &= (x * y) \diamond y = (x \diamond y) * (y \diamond y) = (x \diamond y) * 0 = x \diamond y, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Note from Remark 2.2 and Proposition 3.11 that every positive implicative pseudo-*BCK* algebra is a positive implicative *BCK*-algebra. That is, there is no positive implicative pseudo-*BCK* algebras which are not positive implicative *BCK*-algebras.

**Proposition 3.12.** *If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCK algebra satisfying the following implication*

$$x \leq y \implies x = x \wedge y \quad (\text{resp. } x = x \cap y), \quad (3.4)$$

*then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered (resp.  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered).*

*Proof.* Since  $x \wedge y \leq x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , it follows from (3.4) that  $x \wedge y = (x \wedge y) \wedge x$ , that is,  $y \diamond (y * x) = x \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x)))$  so from (p3), Proposition 3.7 and (a1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (y \diamond (y * x)) * (x \diamond (x * y)) &= (x \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x)))) * (x \diamond (x * y)) \\ &= (x * (x \diamond (x * y))) \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x))) \\ &= (x * y) \diamond (x * (y \diamond (y * x))) \\ &\leq (y \diamond (y * x)) * y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $y \diamond (y * x) \leq x \diamond (x * y)$  by (a4) and (a5). Since  $x$  and  $y$  are arbitrarily, we get  $y \diamond (y * x) = x \diamond (x * y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered. Next, note that  $x \cap y \leq x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence, by (3.4), we have  $x \cap y = (x \cap y) \cap x$ , that is,  $y * (y \diamond x) = x * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x)))$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (y * (y \diamond x)) \diamond (x * (x \diamond y)) &= (x * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x)))) \diamond (x * (x \diamond y)) \\ &= (x \diamond (x * (x \diamond y))) * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x))) \\ &= (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond (y * (y \diamond x))) \\ &\leq (y * (y \diamond x)) \diamond y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $y * (y \diamond x) \leq x * (x \diamond y)$ . The reverse inequality is also valid, because  $x$  and  $y$  are arbitrarily. Hence  $y * (y \diamond x) = x * (x \diamond y)$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCK algebra satisfying the following implication*

$$x \leq y \implies x \wedge y = x = x \cap y, \quad (3.5)$$

*then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is inf-semi-lattice ordered.*

**Proposition 3.14.** *If a pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered, then*

$$x \leq z, z * y \leq z * x \implies x \leq y.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x \leq z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ . Then  $x * z = 0$  and  $(z * y) \diamond (z * x) = 0$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= (x \diamond 0) * y = (x \diamond (x * z)) * y \\ &= (z \diamond (z * x)) * y = (z * y) \diamond (z * x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x \leq y$ , ending the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.15.** *If a pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered, then*

$$x \leq z, z \diamond y \leq z \diamond x \implies x \leq y.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x \leq z$  and  $z \diamond y \leq z \diamond x$ . Then  $x \diamond z = 0$  and  $(z \diamond y) * (z \diamond x) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x \diamond y &= (x * 0) \diamond y = (x * (x \diamond z)) \diamond y \\ &= (z * (z \diamond x)) \diamond y = (z \diamond y) * (z \diamond x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x \leq y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16.** *If a pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies*

$$x, y \leq z, z \diamond y \leq z \diamond x \implies x \leq y, \quad (3.6)$$

*then  $u = v * (v \diamond u)$  for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $u \leq v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in X$  be such that  $u \leq v$ . Then  $v * (v \diamond u) \leq v$  by (p5). Moreover,  $v \diamond (v * (v \diamond u)) \leq v \diamond u$  by (a2). It follows from (3.6) that  $u \leq v * (v \diamond u)$ . Since  $v * (v \diamond u) \leq u$  by (a2), we conclude that  $u = v * (v \diamond u)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.17.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCK algebra such that*

$$x, y \leq z, z * y \leq z * x \implies x \leq y. \quad (3.7)$$

*Then  $u = v \diamond (v * u)$  for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $u \leq v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in X$  be such that  $u \leq v$ . Note from (p5) that  $v \diamond (v * u) \leq v$ . Since  $v * (v \diamond (v * u)) \leq v * u$  by (a2), it follows from (3.7) that  $u \leq v \diamond (v * u)$ . Recall that  $v \diamond (v * u) \leq u$  by (a2). Hence, by (a5), we have  $u = v \diamond (v * u)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *A pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered if and only if*

$$y \wedge x = y \diamond (y * (y \wedge x)), \forall x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Since  $y \wedge x \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , the necessity is by Propositions 3.14 and 3.17. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCK algebra which satisfies

$$y \wedge x = y \diamond (y * (y \wedge x)), \forall x, y \in X.$$

For any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \leq y$ , we have

$$x = x \diamond 0 = x \diamond (x * y) = y \diamond (y * (x \diamond (x * y))) = y \diamond (y * x) = x \wedge y,$$

and so  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\wedge$ -semi-lattice ordered by Proposition 3.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** *A pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered if and only if*

$$y \cap x = y * (y \diamond (y \cap x)), \forall x, y \in X. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered pseudo-BCK algebra. Using Propositions 3.15 and 3.16, we know that  $y \cap x = y * (y \diamond (y \cap x))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Conversely, assume that a pseudo-BCK algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies the condition (3.8). Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x * 0 = x * (x \diamond y) = y \cap x = y * (y \diamond (y \cap x)) \\ &= y * (y \diamond (x * (x \diamond y))) = y * (y \diamond x) = x \cap y, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $\cap$ -semi-lattice ordered by Proposition 3.12. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-005-D00002).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BCK algebras: an extension of BCK algebras*, (submitted).
- [2] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **23**(1) (1978), 1-26.
- [3] J. Mang and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoonsa, Seoul, Korea, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CHINJU (JINJU) 660-701, KOREA

*Email address:* ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr